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PURPOSE

Walking, bicycling, jogging, and other trail-related activities are on the rise in Frisco. Residents have come to value the City’s abundant sidewalks, beautiful trails and greenways, sidepaths, and on-street bike routes for their health and recreation benefits. The City’s investments reflect the community’s desire to lead healthy and active lifestyles in a growing community.

The Frisco Hike and Bike Master Plan is the City’s 20-year blueprint to make walking and bicycling safe, comfortable, and efficient choices for people of all ages and abilities. This plan is derived from extensive public engagement and analysis of existing conditions, offering guidance to achieve the intent of the Hike and Bike Master Plan.

IMPLEMENTATION

The plan focused on changes to the built environment over a 20-year period that support walking and bicycling by creating an interconnected network, consisting of sidewalks for pedestrians, on-street bicycle facilities—such as shared lane markings and bike lanes, and off-street shared-use paths—such as trails and greenways—for all types of non-motorized recreation.

Implementing the Plan will be an evolving process. The most natural course for implementation will be to include the construction of new bicycle and pedestrian facilities in larger road projects or with development. Another way the new sidewalks, trails, or bike lanes may be installed is through grants. The North Central Texas Council of Governments annually has monies available for construction projects related to pedestrian and bicycle facilities. A requirement for eligibility of this funding is that any proposed project must be part of a larger Plan. Thus, the project team has included all possible projects into the proposed network maps.

New hiking facilities will continue to be constructed through a combination of private and public projects. New private development projects are required to construct sidewalks adjacent to creeks within their property. Annual capital projects fill in gaps in these sidewalks and trails every year based on need, demand, and available funding.

TRAILS AND GREENWAYS

In order to create an interconnected network of safe, accessible, and enjoyable trails and greenways, the City of Frisco will utilize a variety of trail types to meet the unique land use, transportation, and topographical contexts throughout the City. These different trail types are organized along a spectrum, transitioning from shorter, local-connector trails to longer, Veloweb Regional trails that connect Frisco to surrounding communities and serve as main arteries for hiking and biking. Figure 2 depicts this trail hierarchy.

These new facilities will continue to be constructed through a combination of private and public projects. New private development projects are required to construct sidewalks adjacent to roads along their frontage and/or the trails adjacent to creeks within their property. Annual capital projects will fill in gaps in these sidewalks and trails every year based on need, demand, and available funding.

When complete, these trails and greenways will offer Frisco residents and visitors a safe, accessible, and unique recreation experience.

Figure 1. A Frisco family out for a stroll on the Cottonwood Creek Greenbelt.

Figure 2. Trail hierarchy
The facility selection matrix below builds on this concept and outlines desired and acceptable ranges of traffic volumes and speeds for the various bicycle facility types. The facility selection matrix served as a guiding tool for the development of on-street bicycle facilities and will remain useful as the City’s roadway network continues to grow in tandem with future development.
Most cyclists would prefer to ride on a trail, but that is not always an option because of physical barriers or undeveloped areas. Most long-distance destinations across the city will require a cyclist to ride in the street for some portion of their journey. This is where the off-street trails and on-street bike lanes of the plan need to overlap. The on-street bicycle facilities help fill in route gaps for the off-street facilities, and vice-versa.

On-street bicycle facilities located along major roadways that require additional pavement or result in changes to vehicular operations or capacity will be presented to council for discussion, input, and approval prior to being added to a roadway project or prior to a request for outside funding being made.

MAPS

When reviewing the proposed hike and bike maps, it should be noted that each proposed facility is the highest level facility recommended for that road, and it could always be reduced to something simpler. For example, some proposed bike lanes are based on future land use projections, but if those land uses were to change, the city may consider reducing the facility to just adding signage to the road allowing bikes to use the full travel lane. It’s important to plan for the ultimate possibility, knowing that the city council has the discretion to adjust implementation as needed.

Figure 5. On-street bicycle facilities like bike lanes, buffered bike lanes, and cycle tracks will offer connections between trails, schools, neighborhoods, parks, and other popular destinations in Frisco.
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**MAP 3. PROPOSED HIKE NETWORK: NORTHEAST QUADRANT**
MAP 4. PROPOSED HIKE NETWORK: SOUTHWEST QUADRANT
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**LEGEND**

**Existing Pedestrian Facilities**
- Local & Connector Trail (8' plus)
- Greenbelt Trail (10' plus)
- Parkway Trail (8' to 10', 10' preferred)
- Veloweb Regional Trail (10' plus)
- Sidewalks

**Proposed Pedestrian Facilities**
- Local & Connector Trail (8' plus)
- Greenbelt Trail (10' plus)
- Parkway Trail (8' to 10', 10' preferred)
- Veloweb Regional Trail (10' plus)
- Proposed Sidewalks

**Other Map Elements**
- Interstate/Tollway
- Road
- Railroad
- Streams/Bodies of Water
- Parks
- Schools
- Rail
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**RECOMMENDATIONS AND MAPS**

**MAP 5. PROPOSED HIKE NETWORK: SOUTHEAST QUADRANT**

Date: December, 2018
Source: City of Frisco, NCTCOG, TXDOT, Alta Planning + Design
Proposed Bikeways

Off-Street Trails and Greenways

* Cycle tracks and bike lanes are for future consideration
  - On future implementation, bike facilities in blue are planned.

Legend

Existing Bikeways

On-Street Bikeways

- Sharrow (Road Signage)

Off-Street Trails and Greenways

- Local & Connector Trail (8’ plus)
- Greenbelt Trail (10’ plus)
- Parkway Trail (8’ - 10’, 10’ preferred)
- Veloweb Regional Trail (10’ plus)
- Neighborhood Bike Trail

Proposed Bikeways

On-Street Bikeways

- Bike Boulevard
- Cycle Track
- Bike Lane / Buffered Bike Lane*
- Bike Lane
- Shared Lane Markings
- Bike Boulevard

Off-Street Trails and Greenways

- Local & Connector Trail (8’ plus)
- Greenbelt Trail (10’ plus)
- Parkway Trail (8’ - 10’, 10’ preferred)
- Veloweb Regional Trail (10’ plus)

Other Map Elements

- Frisco City Limits
- City Boundaries

Note:
- On future implementation, bike facilities in blue are planned.

Date: December, 2018

Frisco Hike and Bike Master Plan

Revised: June 2019

1. Cycle tracks and bike lanes are for future consideration. On future implementation, bike facilities in blue are planned.
BIKE NETWORK

Legend
Existing Bikeways
On-Street Bikeways
Share the Road Signage

Off-Street Trails and Greenways
Local & Connector Trail (8' plus)
Greenbelt Trail (10' plus)
Parkway Trail (8' - 10', 10' preferred)
Veloweb Regional Trail (10' plus)

Mountain Bike Trail

Proposed Bikeways
On-Street Bikeways
Bike Boulevard
Cycle Track*
Bike Lane / Buffered Bike Lane*
Shared Lane Markings
Bikes May Use Full Lane Signage

Off-Street Trails and Greenways
Local & Connector Trail (8' plus)
Greenbelt Trail (10' plus)
Parkway Trail (8' - 10', 10' preferred)
Veloweb Regional Trail (10' plus)

Other Map Elements
Interstate/Tollway
Road
Railroad
Streets/Bodies of Water
Parks
Schools
Retail
Frisco City Limits
City Boundaries

* Cycle tracks and bike lanes are for future consideration unless they are shown on the Early Implementation Bike Network (Map 1).

Date: December, 2018
Source: City of Frisco, NCTCOG, TXDOT, Alta Planning + Design

MAP 7. PROPOSED BIKE NETWORK: NORTHWEST QUADRANT
BIKE NETWORK

Legend
Existing Bikeways
- On-Street Bikeways
  - Share the Road Signage
- Off-Street Trails and Greenways
  - Local & Connector Trail (6 plus)
  - Greenbelt Trail (10' plus)
  - Parkway Trail (10' - 12', 10' preferred)
  - Multimodal Regional Trail (10' plus)
- Mountain Bike Trail

Proposed Bikeways
- On-Street Bikeways
  - Bike Boulevard
  - Cycle Track*
  - Bike Lanes/ Buffered Bike Lanes*
  - Shared Lane Markings
  - Bikes May Use Full Lane Signage
- Off-Street Trails and Greenways
  - Local & Connector Trail (8' plus)
  - Greenbelt Trail (10' plus)
  - Parkway Trail (8' - 10', 10' preferred)
  - Multimodal Regional Trail (10' plus)

Other Map Elements
- Interstate/Tollway
- Railroad
- Streams/Bodies of Water
- Parks
- Schools
- Retail
- Frisco City Limits
- City Boundaries

* Cycle tracks and bike lanes are for future consideration unless they are shown on the Early Implementation Bike Network (Map 11).

Date: December, 2018
Source: City of Frisco, NCTCOG, TXDOT, Alta Planning + Design

Frisco, December 2018
Source: City of Frisco, NCTCOG, TXDOT, Alta Planning + Design

MAP 8. PROPOSED BIKE NETWORK: NORTHEAST QUADRANT
BIKE NETWORK
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Existing Bikeways
On-Street Bikeways
Share the Road Signage

Off-Street Trails and Greenways
Local & Connector Trail (8’ plus)
Greenbelt Trail (10’ plus)
Parkway Trail (8’ – 10’, 10’ preferred)
Veloweb Regional Trail (10’ plus)
Mountain Bike Trail

Proposed Bikeways
On-Street Bikeways
Bike Boulevard
Cycle Track*
Bike Lane / Buffered Bike Lane*
Shared Lane Markings
Bikes May Use Full Lane Signage

Off-Street Trails and Greenways
Local & Connector Trail (8’ plus)
Greenbelt Trail (10’ plus)
Parkway Trail (8’ – 10’, 10’ preferred)
Veloweb Regional Trail (10’ plus)

Other Map Elements
Interstate/Tollway
Road
Railroad
Streams/Bodies of Water
Parks
Schools
Retail
Frisco City Limits
City Boundaries

* Cycle tracks and bike lanes are for future consideration unless they are shown on the Early Implementation Bike Network (Map 11).

Date: December, 2018
Source: City of Frisco, NCTCOG, TXDOT, Alta Planning + Design

MAP 9. PROPOSED BIKE NETWORK: SOUTHWEST QUADRANT

RECOMMENDATIONS AND MAPS
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Coit Rd
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Stonebrook Pkwy
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Independence Pkwy
Parkwood Blvd
Rolater Rd
Hillcrest Rd
Dallas Pkwy
Starwood Dr
Hickory St
Kings Ridge Rd
Woodstream Dr
Plantation Ln
Richwoods Dr
Preston Vineyard Dr
Jereme Trl
Legend
Existing Bikeways
On-Street Bikeways
Share the Road Signage
Off-Street Trails and Greenways
Local & Connector Trail (8’ plus)
Greenbelt Trail (10’ plus)
Parkway Trail (6’, 10’, 10’ preferred)
Innovative Regional Trail (10’ plus)
Mountain Bike Trail
Proposed Bikeways
On-Street Bikeways
Bike Boulevard
Cycle Track*
Bike Lane / Buffered Bike Lane*
Shared Lane Markings
Bikes May Use Full Lane Signage
Off-Street Trails and Greenways
Local & Connector Trail (8’ plus)
Greenbelt Trail (10’ plus)
Parkway Trail (6’, 10’, 10’ preferred)
Innovative Regional Trail (10’ plus)
Other Map Elements
Interstate/Tollway
Road
Railroad
Streets/Bodies of Water
Parks
Schools
Retail
Frisco City Limits
City Boundaries
* Cycle tracks and bike lanes are for future consideration unless they are shown on the Early Implementation Bike Network (Map 11).

Date: December, 2018
Source: City of Frisco, NCTCOG, TXDOT, Alta Planning + Design

MAP 10. PROPOSED BIKE NETWORK: SOUTHEAST QUADRANT
EARLY IMPLEMENTATION BIKE NETWORK

The Early Implementation Bike Network map shows the bike facilities from the overall proposed network that could be implemented along minor roadways. The map includes bike lanes on existing collector roads, shared lane markings, bicycle boulevards, and a few links from the planned off-street trail network. The on-street portions of this proposed network could be implemented with striping changes to existing roadways without adding additional pavement. This smaller, core network of minor on-street bicycle facilities could be completed at a small cost. Elements of this Early Implementation Plan would be completed incrementally over time as the need presents itself. This initial core network would also provide the City an opportunity to explore the latent demand of cyclists once a network is provided between various origins and destinations.

Facilities on the Early Implementation Bike Network map can be implemented with striping changes to existing roadways without adding additional pavement. This smaller, core network of minor on-street bicycle facilities can be completed easily with annual operational funds. It is recommended that elements of this Early Implementation Plan be completed incrementally over time as the need presents itself. This initial core network would also provide the City an opportunity to explore the latent demand of cyclists once a network is provided between various origins and destinations.
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Appendix: Design Guidelines

The sections that follow serve as an inventory of pedestrian and bicycle design treatments and provide guidelines for their development. These treatments and design guidelines are important because they represent the tools for creating a safe, walk- and bicycle-friendly, accessible corridor. The guidelines are not, however, a substitute for a more thorough evaluation by a landscape architect or engineer upon implementation of facility improvements. Some improvements may also require cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation for specific design solutions. The following standards and guidelines are referred to in this guide.

- The Federal Highway Administration’s (FHWA) Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) defines the standards used by road engineers nationwide to install and maintain traffic control devices on all public streets, highways, shared-use trails, and private roads open to public traffic. The MUTCD is the primary source for guidance on lane striping requirements, signal warrants, and recommended signage and pavement markings.

- To further clarify the MUTCD, the FHWA created a table of contemporary bicycle facilities that lists various bicycle-related signs, markings, signals, and other treatments and identifies their official status (e.g., can be implemented, currently experimental). See Bicycle Facilities and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

- Shared-use trail design treatments not explicitly covered by the MUTCD are often subject to experiments, interpretations, and official rulings by the FHWA. The MUTCD Official Rulings is a resource that allows website visitors to obtain information about these supplementary materials. These documents, which include incoming request letters, response letters from the FHWA, progress reports, and final reports, are available at: http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/orsearch.asp.

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities, updated in June 2012 provides guidance on dimensions, use, and layout of specific bicycle facilities. The standards and guidelines presented by AASHTO provide basic information, such as minimum sidewalk widths, bicycle lane dimensions, detailed striping requirements, and recommended signage and pavement markings.

- Offering similar guidance for pedestrian design, the 2004 AASHTO Guide for the Planning, Design and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities provides comprehensive guidance on planning and designing for people on foot.

- The National Association of City Transportation Officials’ (NACTO) Urban Bikeway Design Guide is a modern publication of nationally recognized trail design standards, and offers guidance on the current state of the practice designs. The intent of the guide is to offer substantive guidance for cities seeking to improve bicycle transportation in places where competing demands for the use of the right of way present unique challenges. All of the NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide treatments are in use internationally and in many cities around the US.

- Meeting the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is an important part of any bicycle and pedestrian facility project. The United States Access Board’s proposed Public Rights-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG), the ICC/ANSI A117.1 Accessible and Usable Buildings and Facilities, the 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design (2010 Standards) and the ABA Accessibility Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas contain standards and guidance for the construction of accessible facilities. This includes requirements for sidewalk curb ramps, slope requirements, and pedestrian railings along stairs.

- In 2017, the FHWA release the Small Town and Rural Multimodal Networks Guide to supplement available design resources by providing a focus on small towns and rural communities. The guide’s best practices and innovative approaches to bicycling and walking network development will support Frisco as it expands active transportation opportunities into less developed areas of the City.

- Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) is defined as a multi-disciplinary approach to deterring criminal behavior through environmental design. CPTED strategies rely upon the ability to influence offender decisions that precede criminal acts by affecting the built, social, and administrative environment. These principals should be applied to all trails in the City of Frisco when feasible.

Should these standards be revised in the future and result in discrepancies with this document, the standards should prevail for all design decisions. A qualified engineer or landscape architect should be consulted for the most up to date and accurate cost estimates. In all cases, engineering judgment is recommended to ensure that the application makes sense for the context of each treatment.
PRINCIPLES OF FACILITY DESIGN

Within the Design Standards and Guidelines section of this document, treatments are covered by a single sheet tabular format relaying important design information, example photos, schematics (if applicable), and existing summary guidance from current or upcoming draft standards. Existing standards are referenced throughout and should be the first source of information when seeking to implement any of the treatments featured in this document.

SAFETY

In addition to the physical and perceived safety of the greenway trail system, the pedestrian and bicycling environment connecting to the network should also be safe. Safety means minimal conflicts with external factors, such as user types, user volumes, vehicular traffic, and protruding architectural elements. Safety also means routes are well marked with appropriate non-slip pavement markings, wayfinding alternatives, and directional signage.

ACCESSIBILITY AND EASE OF USE

The bicycle and pedestrian network should be accessible and easy to use. Sidewalks, shared-use trails, and crosswalks should permit the mobility of residents of all ages and abilities and allow all people to easily find a direct route to a destination with minimal delays, regardless of mobility, sensory, or cognitive disability impairments. The bicycle and pedestrian network should employ principles of universal design, Americans with Disabilities Act requirements, and the Access Board’s Architectural Barriers Act Guidelines for Outdoor Developed Areas. The bicycle and pedestrian network will have a range of skill levels, and crossing facilities should be designed to accommodate all users to the greatest extent possible. All roads are legal for the use of pedestrians and bicyclists (except freeways, from which each is prohibited unless a separate facility on that right-of-way is provided). This means that bicycle and pedestrian facilities should be designed, marked, and maintained accordingly.

ECONOMY

The bicycle and pedestrian network should be economical. Improvements should achieve the maximum benefit for their cost (including initial cost and maintenance cost) as well as a reduced reliance on more expensive modes of transportation. Where possible, improvements in the right-of-way should stimulate, reinforce, and connect with adjacent private improvements.

Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) bicycle facility policies and relevant City of Frisco codes and ordinances should be referenced whenever designing bicycle and pedestrian facilities or intersections within the roadway right-of-way.

CONNECTIVITY

The City’s shared-use trails, sidewalks, and bicycle network should connect to places people want to go. The network should provide continuous routes and convenient connections between destinations such as homes and neighborhoods, schools, retail areas, public services, employment centers, and transit. The routes should be as direct as feasible given environmental factors and constraints. Efforts to connect users to recreation opportunities and facilities, such as parks and civic areas, should be a priority. Shared-use trails should connect to natural areas and open space in Frisco, providing opportunities for socializing and quiet reflection. Existing and proposed trails should connect with the network of pedestrian and on-street bicycling facilities to complete recreational and commuter routes.
AESTHETIC

The bicycle and pedestrian network and support facilities should be attractive and enhance community livability. Good design should integrate with and support the development of complementary uses and should encourage preservation and construction of art, landscaping, and other items that add value to communities. These components might include open spaces such as plazas, courtyards, and squares, and amenities like street furniture, banners, art, landscape, and hardscape. These items, along with historical elements and cultural references, should promote a sense of place and not detract from the user experience or natural setting. Public activities should be encouraged.

ENVIRONMENT

Bicycle and pedestrian facilities must be developed and maintained in ways that avoid negative impacts to the ecological resources of the area. The following best management practices are recommended for developing and maintaining trails:

- Ecologically sensitive areas should be preserved or protected whenever possible.
- Design should avoid or minimize erosion associated both with facility tread and stormwater runoff.
- Use natural infiltration and best management practices for stormwater management.
- Responsible planning and management of bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure is an ongoing responsibility/activity.
DESIGN NEEDS OF PEDESTRIANS

Pedestrians have a variety of characteristics and the transportation network should accommodate a variety of needs, abilities, and possible impairments. Age is one major factor that affects pedestrians’ physical characteristics, walking speed, and environmental perception. Children have low eye height and walk at slower speeds than adults. They also perceive the environment differently at various stages of their cognitive development. Older adults walk more slowly and may require assistive devices for walking stability, sight, and hearing. Table 1 to the right summarizes common pedestrian characteristics for various age groups.

The MUTCD recommends a normal walking speed of three and a half feet per second when calculating the pedestrian clearance interval at traffic signals. The walking speed can drop to three feet per second for areas with older populations and persons with mobility impairments. While the type and degree of mobility impairment varies greatly across the population, the transportation system should accommodate these users to the greatest reasonable extent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>CHARACTERISTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>Learning to walk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires constant adult supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developing peripheral vision and depth perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>Increasing independence, but still requires supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor depth perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13</td>
<td>Susceptible to “dart out” intersection dash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense of invulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>Improved awareness of traffic environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-40</td>
<td>Active, fully aware of traffic environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>Slowing of reflexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>Difficulty crossing street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vision loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty hearing vehicles approaching from behind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could become disoriented or have limited cognitive abilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A street serves as a place and as a link. In the United States following WWII, most roadways have been designed with the primary focus being to connect destinations via automobile. Roadways designed in this fashion typically function as a link that is designed only to connect point A to point B in a manner that facilitates quick motor vehicle travel. However, roadways also function as a social space and have a relationship with the places where people live, work and play. Treating streets simply as links often ignores the other important contexts and functions that streets should address. The Complete Streets design philosophy is a shift to use both link and place concepts in designing roadways. Designing for all modes with both link and place considerations has the potential to add value to Frisco’s roadway system. This will help the City transition to a network that is more sustainable and safe, while providing public spaces that are inviting for people and businesses.

Since ample guidelines exist on the accommodation of automobiles along roadways, and Frisco roadways are, for the most part, designed to give these users priority, this guide is intended to focus on the design considerations for bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users.
DESIGN NEEDS OF BICYCLISTS

The purpose of this section is to provide the facility designer with an understanding of how bicyclists operate and how their bicycle influences that operation. Bicyclists, by nature, are much more affected by poor facility design, construction, and maintenance practices than motor vehicle drivers. Bicyclists lack the protection from the elements and roadway hazards provided by an automobile’s structure and safety features. By understanding the unique characteristics and needs of bicyclists, a facility designer can provide quality facilities and minimize user risk.

BICYCLE AS A DESIGN VEHICLE

Similar to motor vehicles, bicyclists and their bicycles exist in a variety of sizes and configurations. These variations occur in the types of vehicle (such as a conventional bicycle, a recumbent bicycle or a tricycle), and behavioral characteristics (such as the comfort level of the bicyclist). The design of a bikeway should consider reasonably expected bicycle types on the facility and utilize the appropriate dimensions.

The figure below illustrates the operating space and physical dimensions of a typical adult bicyclist, which are the basis for typical facility design. Bicyclists require clear space to operate within a facility. This is why the minimum operating width is greater than the physical dimensions of the bicyclist. Bicyclists prefer five feet or more operating width, although four feet may be minimally acceptable.

In addition to the design dimensions of a typical bicycle, there are many other commonly used pedal-driven cycles and accessories to consider when planning and designing bicycle facilities. The most common types include tandem bicycles, recumbent bicycles, and trailer accessories. The figure below depicts the typical dimensions for bicycle types.

DESIGN NEEDS OF WHEELCHAIR USERS

As the American population ages, the number of people using mobility assistive devices (such as manual wheelchairs, powered wheelchairs) increases.

Manual wheelchairs are self-propelled devices. Users propel themselves using push rims attached to the rear wheels. Braking is done through resisting wheel movement with the hands or arm. Alternatively, a second individual can control the wheelchair using handles attached to the back of the chair.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USER</th>
<th>TYPICAL SPEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manual Wheelchair</td>
<td>3.6 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Wheelchair</td>
<td>6.8 mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Power wheelchairs use battery power to move the wheelchair. The size and weight of power wheelchairs limit their ability to negotiate obstacles without a ramp. Various control units are available that enable users to control the wheelchair movement, based on their ability (e.g., joystick control, breath controlled, etc).

Maneuvering around a turn requires additional space for wheelchair devices. Providing adequate space for 180 degree turns at appropriate locations is an important element for accessible design.

WHEELCHAIR USER TYPICAL SPEED

WHEELCHAIR USER DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFECT ON MOBILITY</th>
<th>DESIGN SOLUTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty propelling over uneven or soft surfaces.</td>
<td>Firm, stable surfaces and structures, including ramps or beveled edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-slopes cause wheelchairs to veer downhill.</td>
<td>Cross-slopes of less than 2 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require wider path of travel.</td>
<td>Sufficient width and maneuvering space.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEDESTRIAN CROSSING LOCATION AND FACILITY SELECTION

MIDBLOCK CROSSINGS

Midblock crossings are an important street design element for pedestrians. They can provide a legal crossing at locations where pedestrians want to travel, and can be safer than crossings at intersections because traffic is only moving in two directions. Locations where midblock crossings should be considered include:

- Long blocks (longer than 600 ft) with destinations on both sides of the street
- Locations with heavy pedestrian traffic, such as schools, shopping centers
- At midblock transit stops, where transit riders must cross the street on one leg of their journey

CROSSING TREATMENT SELECTION

The specific type of treatment at a crossing may range from a simple marked crosswalk to full traffic signals or grade separated crossings. Crosswalk lines should not be used indiscriminately, and appropriate selection of crossing treatments should be evaluated in an engineering study performed before a marked crosswalk is installed. The engineering study should consider the number of lanes, the presence of a median, the distance from adjacent signalized intersections, the pedestrian volumes and delays, the average daily traffic (ADT), the posted or statutory speed limit or 85th-percentile speed, the geometry of the location, the possible consolidation of multiple crossing points, the availability of street lighting, and other appropriate factors.

### PEDESTRIAN CROSSING CONTEXTUAL GUIDANCE

At unsignalized locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY TYPE</th>
<th>Local Streets 15-25 mph</th>
<th>Collector Streets 25-30 mph</th>
<th>Arterial Streets 30-45 mph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Crosswalk Only (high visibility)</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>EJ EJ X</td>
<td>EJ EJ X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Crosswalk with warning signage and yield lines</td>
<td>EJ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>EJ EJ EJ X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Active Warning Beacon (RRFB)</td>
<td>X EJ</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ X X X</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Hybrid Beacon</td>
<td>X X EJ EJ EJ</td>
<td>EJ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>EJ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Full Traffic Signal</td>
<td>X X EJ EJ EJ</td>
<td>EJ EJ EJ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Grade separation</td>
<td>X X EJ EJ EJ</td>
<td>X EJ EJ EJ EJ EJ EJ</td>
<td>EJ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGEND**

- Most Desirable ✓
- Engineering Judgement EJ
- Not Recommended X

Source: Based on professional experience and recommendations from the FHWA 2005 report Safety Effects of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations.
**Design Speed Expectations**

The expected speed that different types of bicyclists can maintain under various conditions also influences the design of facilities such as shared use paved trails. The table to the right provides typical bicyclist speeds for a variety of conditions.

### Bicycle as Design Vehicle - Typical Dimensions

*Source: AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities, 3rd Edition*

*AASHTO does not provide typical dimensions for tricycles.

### Bicycle as Design Vehicle - Design Speed Expectations

*Tandem bicycles and bicyclists with trailers have typical speeds equal to or less than upright adult bicyclists.
TYPES OF BICYCLISTS

It is important to consider bicyclists of all skill levels when creating a non-motorized plan or project. Bicyclist skill level greatly influences expected speeds and behavior, both in separated bikeways and on shared roadways. Bicycle infrastructure should accommodate as many user types as possible, with decisions for separate or parallel facilities based on providing a comfortable experience for the greatest number of people.

The bicycle planning and engineering professions currently use several systems to classify the population which can assist in understanding the characteristics and infrastructure preferences of different bicyclists. The current AASHTO Guide to the Development of Bicycle Facilities encourages designers to identify their rider type based on the trip purpose (Recreational vs Transportation) and on the level of comfort and skill of the rider (Causal vs Experienced). A more detailed framework for understanding of the US population’s relationship to transportation focused bicycling is illustrated in the figure below. Developed by planners in Portland, OR and supported by research, this classification provides the following alternative categories to address varying attitudes towards bicycling in the US:

**Strong and Fearless** (approximately 1% of population) – Characterized by bicyclists that will typically ride anywhere regardless of roadway conditions or weather. These bicyclists can ride faster than other user types, prefer direct routes and will typically choose roadway connections -- even if shared with vehicles -- over separate bicycle facilities such as shared use paved trails.

**Enthused and Confident** (5-10% of population) - This user group encompasses bicyclists who are fairly comfortable riding on all types of bikeways but usually choose low traffic streets or shared use paved trails when available. These bicyclists may deviate from a more direct route in favor of a preferred facility type. This group includes all kinds of bicyclists such as commuters, recreationalists, racers and utilitarian bicyclists.

**Interested but Concerned** (approximately 60% of population) – This user type comprises the bulk of the cycling population and represents bicyclists who typically only ride a bicycle on low traffic streets or multi-use trails under favorable weather conditions. These bicyclists perceive significant barriers to their increased use of cycling, specifically traffic and other safety issues. These people may become “Enthused & Confident” with encouragement, education and experience.

**No Way, No How** (approximately 30% of population) – Persons in this category are not bicyclists, and perceive severe safety issues with riding in traffic. Some people in this group may eventually become more regular cyclists with time and education. A significant portion of these people will not ride a bicycle under any circumstances.

---


BICYCLE FACILITY CONTEXTUAL GUIDANCE

Selecting the best bikeway facility type for a given roadway can be challenging, due to the range of factors that influence bicycle users’ comfort and safety. There is a significant impact on cycling comfort when the speed differential between bicyclists and motor vehicle traffic is high and motor vehicle traffic volumes are high. As a starting point to identify a preferred facility, the chart below can be used to determine the recommended type of bikeway to be provided in particular roadway speed and volume situations. To use this chart, identify the appropriate daily traffic volume and travel speed on or the existing or proposed roadway, and locate the facility types indicated by those key variables.

Other factors beyond speed and volume which affect facility selection include traffic mix of automobiles and heavy vehicles, the presence of on-street parking, intersection density, surrounding land use, and roadway sight distance. See the right column of the chart for other key issues to consider when selecting an appropriate facility type.

### ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (1,000 VEH/DAY OR 100 VEH/PEAK HR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY TYPE</th>
<th>STREET CLASS</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15+</th>
<th>20+</th>
<th>25+</th>
<th>30+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BICYCLE BOULEVARD</td>
<td>MINOR STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIKE ROUTE</td>
<td>MINOR STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIKE LANE</td>
<td>COLLECTOR STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUFFERED BIKE LANE</td>
<td>MINOR ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLE TRACK</td>
<td>MINOR ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>ARTERIAL FREeway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
<td>■</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>■</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POSTED TRAVEL SPEED (MPH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOLUME</th>
<th>SPEED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Refers to specific bicycle facilities described in the design guidelines. Many local roads function just fine as they are, due to their low traffic volume and speed.
2. The use of functional classes provides some general context for the cases in which bicycle facilities are most likely to be implemented. Land use and additional factors (see 4) should always take precedence in determining which facility type to select.
3. Urban peak hour factors typically range from 8 to 12 percent of AADT. For the purposes of this chart, the peak hour is assumed to be 10 percent of AADT.
4. Noted additional factors include a selection of considerations that may influence the selection of bicycle facility type where roadway speed/volume values overlap over multiple facilities. Many of the factors that suggest increasing separation are common across multiple facility types like bike lanes, buffered bike lanes and cycle tracks.
5. Increased separation of bicycle facilities from motor vehicle traffic typically results in higher levels of user comfort and appeals to wider skill levels of bicyclists.
6. This chart considers posted speed limit only. The 85th percentile speed may vary, and may change with implementation of a bikeway.
SHARED USE TRAILS AND OFF-STREET FACILITIES

A shared use trail (sometimes referred to as a greenway) allows for two-way, off-street bicycle use and also may be used by pedestrians, skaters, wheelchair users, joggers and other non-motorized users. These facilities are frequently found in parks, along rivers, beaches, and in greenbelts or utility corridors where there are few conflicts with motorized vehicles. Trail facilities can also include amenities such as lighting, signage, and fencing (where appropriate).

This section of the design guidelines describes the various trail contexts and design characteristics that are applicable to trail development in Frisco. These trail contexts are shown on the right. Some of these contexts are fitting for more than one trail type within the Frisco Trail Hierarchy. For example, design guidance for trails along river and utility corridors may apply to Veloweb Regional Trails, Greenbelt Trails, and Local & Connector Trails. Other trail contexts, like sidepaths, only apply to a single trail type (Parkway Trails, in this example). On the following pages, the Frisco Trail Hierarchy will appear next to each of the trail contexts to highlight the trail types to which each trail context pertains.

The Regional Veloweb trail system, noted in this hierarchy, is focused on connecting Frisco to other communities, bridging barriers and making connections to housing, employment and entertainment areas throughout the region. The City of Frisco should continue to work with Denton County officials and adjacent communities to the west to address regional connections along the eastern edge of Denton County, including the Lake Ray Roberts Corridor for linking Frisco and the rest of the county. This planning should be coordinated in the next Regional Veloweb update.
GENERAL DESIGN PRACTICE

Description
Shared use trails can provide a desirable facility, particularly for recreation, and users of all skill levels preferring separation from traffic. Shared use trails should generally provide directional travel opportunities not provided by existing roadways.

Design Principles
The following shared use paved trail design principles should be considered during planning, design, and engineering phases of trail development:

- Provide frequent access points from the local road network.
- Integrate wayfinding signs to direct users to and from the trail.
- Provide appropriate signing, marking, and signalization of at-grade crossings with streets or driveways.
- Terminate the trail where it is easily accessible to and from the street system.
- Separate treads for pedestrians and bicyclists when heavy use is expected.
- Provide connectivity of the trail network through or around gated or private neighborhoods.
- Connect to destination entry points, as opposed to the rear of development parcels.
- Locate trails visibly at appropriate neighborhood access points as opposed to behind retaining walls that isolate trails.
- Provide natural surveillance and “eyes on the trails” to maximize safety and security of all trail types.
- Incorporate CPTED principles for all trails when possible.

Guidance
General Width Considerations
- 8 feet is the minimum allowed in constrained conditions.
- 12 feet is recommended in most situations and will be adequate for moderate to heavy use.
- 14 feet is recommended for heavy use situations with high concentrations of multiple users. A separate track (6' minimum) can be provided for pedestrian use.

Widths for City of Frisco Trail Types
- Local and Connector Trail: 12 feet minimum width
- Greenbelt Trail: 12 feet minimum width
- Parkway Trail: 10 feet minimum width
- Veloweb Regional Trail: 12 feet minimum width
  - Some segments should separate trail users according to type.
Lateral Clearance
- A 2 foot or greater shoulder on both sides of the trail should be provided. An additional foot of lateral clearance (total of 3’) is required by the MUTCD for the installation of signage or other furnishings.
- If bollards are used at intersections and access points, they should be colored brightly and/or supplemented with reflective materials to be visible at night. Bollards should not be used except when required in special situations.
- Separation from the curb line to the edge of trail along a roadway or street should maintain a minimum 5’ separation of tree lawn or planting buffer.

Overhead Clearance
- Clearance to overhead obstructions should be 8 feet minimum, with 10 feet recommended.

Signing and Striping
- When striping is required, use a 4 inch dashed yellow centerline stripe with 4 inch solid white edge lines.
- Trails with a high volume of bidirectional traffic should include a centerline. This can help communicate that users should expect traffic in both directions and encourage users to travel on the right and pass on the left.
- Where there is a sharp blind curve, painting a solid yellow line with directional arrows reduces the risk of head-on collisions.
- Sign and stripe street crossings and driveway crossings to make interactions between active transportation and motor vehicles as visible as possible.
- MUTCD requires 2 ft lateral clearance from the edge of path for post mounted sign faces or other traffic control devices. Standard clearance of overhead signs and traffic control devices should be 8 ft.

Slopes
- Maintain a maximum cross slope of 1.5% along all trails
- Maintain a maximum running slope of 5%.
- Maintain a maximum slope of 3% from the trail to ditch lines or toe of trail section.

Discussion
Terminate the trail where it is easily accessible to and from the street system, preferably at a controlled intersection or at the beginning of a dead-end street.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Asphalt is the most common surface for shared use trails. The use of concrete for trails has proven to be more durable over the long term. Saw cut concrete joints rather than troweled improve the experience of trail users.
CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED) PRINCIPLES FOR SHARED USE TRAILS

Description
Personal safety, both real and perceived, heavily influences a trail user's decision to use a facility and a community's decision to embrace the trail system. Proper design must address both the perceived safety issues (i.e., feeling safe or fear of crime) and actual safety threats (i.e., infrastructure failure and criminal acts). CPTED is a proactive approach to deterring undesired behavior in neighborhoods and communities. When all spaces have a defined use and the use is clearly legible in the landscape, it is easier to identify undesired behavior.

Principle #1: Natural Surveillance
Principle #2: Natural Access Control
Principle #3: Territorial Reinforcement
Principle #4: Maintenance

The following elements include CPTED principles as they apply to trails. Apply CPTED guidelines to trail facilities, management features, and amenities when appropriate.

Guidance
- Where feasible, fencing installed along trails should not obstruct the view of trail users.
- Where the trail is fenced for long stretches, intermittent openings should be located to allow users to enter and exit the trail. Access points to the trail should be at locations with good visibility from the surrounding neighbors.
- Trail signage should include the contact number to report graffiti, suspicious behavior, and maintenance issues (e.g., “Immediately report any observed graffiti to 911”).
- All groundcover and shrubs along trails should be trimmed to a maximum height of 24 inches above ground level.
- Trees should be limbed up to provide a minimum of 6 feet of vertical clearance over the trail within the trail corridor.
- Tree canopies should not obstruct pathway illumination.
- Hostile native landscaping material (e.g., vegetation with thorns) can be used in strategic areas to discourage unauthorized use and eliminate entrapment areas.
- Add anti-graffiti application to retaining walls, where appropriate.
- Work with local artists to provide public art along trails wherever possible.

Additional References and Guidelines
RAILS WITH TRAILS

Description
Rails-with-Trails projects typically consist of trails adjacent to active railroads. It should be noted that some constraints could impact the feasibility of rail-with-trail projects. In some cases, space needs to be preserved for future planned freight, transit or commuter rail service. In other cases, limited right-of-way width, inadequate setbacks, concerns about safety/trespassing, and numerous mid-block crossings may affect a project’s feasibility.

Guidance
Shared use trails in rail corridors should meet or exceed general design standards. If additional width allows, wider trails, and landscaping are desirable.

Fencing will likely be required and heights, materials, and other clearances will be dictated by rail operators. Setbacks from the active rail line will vary depending on the speed and frequency of trains, and available right-of-way.

Discussion
Railroads may require fencing with rail-with-trail projects. Concerns with trespassing and security can vary with the volume and speed of train traffic on the adjacent rail line and the setting of the shared use paved trail, i.e. whether the section of track is in an urban or rural setting.

Materials and Maintenance
Asphalt is the most common surface for shared use trails. The use of concrete for trails has proven to be more durable over the long term. Saw cut concrete joints rather than troweled improve the experience of trail users.

Additional References and Guidelines
RAILS TO TRAILS

Description
Commonly referred to as Rails-to-Trails or Rail Trails, these projects convert vacated rail corridors into off-street paths. Rail corridors offer several advantages, including relatively direct routes between major destinations and generally flat terrain.

In some cases, rail owners may “rail-bank” their corridors as an alternative to a complete abandonment of the line, thus preserving the rail corridor for possible future use.

The railroad may form an agreement with any person, public or private, who would like to use the banked rail line as a trail or linear park until it is again needed for rail use. Municipalities should acquire abandoned rail rights-of-way whenever possible to preserve the opportunity for path development.

Discussion
It is often impractical and costly to add material to existing railroad bed fill slopes. This results in paths that meet minimum path widths, but often lack preferred shoulder and lateral clearance widths.

Rail-to-trails can involve many challenges including the acquisition of the right of way, cleanup and removal of toxic substances, and rehabilitation of tunnels, trestles and culverts. A structural engineer should evaluate existing railroad bridges for structural integrity to ensure they are capable of carrying the appropriate design loads.

Additional References and Guidelines

Guidance
Paths in abandoned rail corridors should meet or exceed General Design Practices. If additional width allows, wider paths and landscaping are desirable.

In full conversions of abandoned rail corridors, the sub-base, superstructure, drainage, bridges, and crossings are already established. Design becomes a matter of working with the existing infrastructure to meet the needs of a rail trail.

Materials and Maintenance
Asphalt is the most common surface for bicycle trails. The use of concrete for trails has proven to be more durable over the long term. Saw cut concrete joints rather than troweled improve the experience of trail users.
SHARED USE TRAILS IN RIPARIAN (STREAM) CORRIDORS

Description

Depending on the width of the floodplain area, riparian corridors often offer substantial recreational and open space preservation opportunities. These corridors include rivers and streams, drainage facilities, and wetlands (where environmentally feasible). All shared use trails constructed within riparian corridors should be studied for stormwater impacts, wildlife habitat impacts, and floodplain development impacts.

Guidance

- Shared use trails in riparian corridors should meet or exceed general design practices indicated previously due to their sensitive nature and generally poorly-drained and wet periods of the year.
- All greenway trails within floodplain areas will require adequate environmental permits from local floodplain administrators. Confirm current requirements with stormwater staff when designing riparian greenway trails.

Routing & Alignment

- Avoid constructing greenway trails along fall lines, which are prone to erosion and generally cannot be maintained over time.
- Where possible, greenway trails should follow the contours.
- Trails through wetlands should be avoided if possible. If wetlands must be crossed, choose the narrowest point.
- Construction of shared use trails immediately adjacent to or abutting streambanks should be avoided to the greatest degree possible. Construct all trails at the maximum distance from streams as is practical.
- Include consideration of stream restoration potential where feasible. Stream restoration projects commonly involve considerable reshaping of the floodplain to reduce bank angles and heights to allow the stream to access its floodplain.

Discussion

Similar to railroads, public access to flood control channels or canals may be undesirable. Hazardous materials, deep water or swift current, steep, slippery slopes, and debris all may constitute risks for public access. If desired, consider appropriate fencing to keep trail users within the designated travel way. Creative design of fencing is encouraged to make the trail facility feel welcoming to the user.

Additional References and Guidelines


Materials and Maintenance

Concrete is the recommended surface treatment for greenway trails prone to flooding due to its superior durability and lower maintenance requirements. Where wetlands are present, use elevated tread materials (such as timber boardwalk) to preserve these fragile ecosystems.
**SHARED USE TRAILS IN UTILITY CORRIDORS**

**Description**
Existing man-made corridors may be able to simultaneously serve the needs of greenway trail users. Underground utilities such as water, sewer, natural gas, or buried electric or optic lines can accommodate greenway trails as well as above-ground utilities such as telephone, cable, or overhead electric. Utility companies benefit from this arrangement by having uninterrupted, easily accessible route to their utility service.

**Guidance**
Shared use paved trails in utility corridors should meet or exceed general design practices. If additional width allows, wider trails, and landscaping are desirable.

**Access Points**
Any access point to the trail should be well-defined with appropriate signage designating the trail as a bicycle facility and prohibiting motor vehicles.

Public access to the trail may be prohibited during the following events:
- Creek channel or other utility maintenance activities
- Inclement weather or the prediction of storm conditions
- Utility companies require specific design guidelines, routing and alignment, and landscaping limitations.
- Ten feet width is required if motor vehicles will be accessing the trail for maintenance purposes.

- In sewer easements, the edge of greenway trail should be at least 10 feet from manhole rims, where possible.
- All greenway trails require acquisition of an easement from the current fee simple title owner of the land.
- Some utilities have greenway trail width limitations within their rights-of-way. When designing trails in utility corridors, confirm current guidelines widths with each utility.
- Culverts and vegetation must be installed per the utility's specifications.
- Structures are typically restricted within utility easements. Structures include signage, lighting, and benches.
- Review each utility’s policy and construction specifications for repair, maintenance, access, and corridor maintenance requirements.

**Materials and Maintenance**
Asphalt is the most common surface for shared use trails. The use of concrete for trails has proven to be more durable over the long term. Saw cut concrete joints rather than troweled improve the experience of trail users.

**Additional References and Guidelines**
NEIGHBORHOOD ACCESSWAYS

Description
Neighborhood accessways provide residential areas with direct bicycle and pedestrian access to parks, trails, greenspaces, and other recreational areas. They most often serve as small trail connections to and from the larger shared use path network, typically having their own rights-of-way and easements.

Additionally, these smaller trails can be used to provide bicycle and pedestrian connections between dead-end streets, cul-de-sacs, and access to nearby destinations not provided by the street network.

Guidance
- Neighborhood accessways should remain open to the public.
- Trail pavement shall be at least 10’ wide to accommodate emergency and maintenance vehicles, meet ADA requirements and be considered suitable for shared use.
- Accessways should be designed to be less than 8’ wide only when necessary to protect large mature native trees, wetlands or other ecologically sensitive areas.
- Access trails should slightly meander whenever possible.

Discussion
Neighborhood accessways should be designed into new subdivisions at every opportunity and should be required by City subdivision regulations. For existing subdivisions, neighborhood and homeowner association groups are encouraged to identify locations where such connects would be desirable. Nearby residents and adjacent property owners should be invited to provide landscape design input.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Asphalt is the most common surface for bicycle trails. The use of concrete for trails has proven to be more durable over the long term. Saw cut concrete joints rather than troweled improve the experience of trail users.
SIDEPATHS: SHARED USE TRAILS ALONG ROADWAYS

Description
Shared use trails along roadways, also called sidepaths, are a type of trail that run adjacent to a street.

Because of operational concerns it is generally preferable to place trails within independent rights-of-way away from roadways. However, there are situations where existing roads provide the only corridors available.

Along roadways, these facilities create a situation where a portion of the bicycle traffic rides against the normal flow of motor vehicle traffic and can result in wrong-way riding where bicyclists enter or leave the trail.

The AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities cautions practitioners of the use of two-way sidepaths on urban or suburban streets with many driveways and street crossings.

In general, there are two approaches to crossings: adjacent crossings and setback crossings, illustrated below.

Guidance
- Guidance for sidepaths should follow that for general design practises of shared use paved trails.
- A high number of driveway crossings and intersections create potential conflicts with turning traffic. Consider alternatives to sidepaths on streets with a high frequency of intersections or heavily used driveways.
- Where a sidepath terminates special consideration should be given to transitions so as not to encourage unsafe wrong-way riding by bicyclists.
- Crossing design should emphasize visibility of users and clarity of expected yielding behavior. Crossings may be STOP or YIELD controlled depending on sight lines and bicycle motor vehicle volumes and speeds.

Discussion
The provision of a shared use paved trail adjacent to a road is not a substitute for the provision of on-road accommodation such as paved shoulders or bike lanes, but may be considered in some locations in addition to on-road bicycle facilities.

To reduce potential conflicts in some situations, it may be better to place one-way sidepaths on both sides of the street.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Asphalt is the most common surface for bicycle trails. The use of concrete for trails has proven to be more durable over the long term. Saw cut concrete joints rather than troweled improve the experience of trail users.
Adjacent Crossing - A separation of 6 feet emphasizes the conspicuity of riders at the approach to the crossing.

Setback Crossing - A set back of 25 feet separates the trail crossing from merging/turning movements that may be competing for a driver’s attention.
At-grade roadway crossings can create potential conflicts between trail users and motorists, however, well-designed crossings can mitigate many operational issues and provide a higher degree of safety and comfort for trail users. This is evidenced by the thousands of successful facilities around the United States with at-grade crossings. In most cases, at-grade trail crossings can be properly designed to provide a reasonable degree of safety and can meet existing traffic and safety standards. Trail facilities that cater to bicyclists can require additional considerations due to the higher travel speed of bicyclists versus pedestrians.

Consideration must be given to adequate warning distance based on vehicle speeds and line of sight, with the visibility of any signs absolutely critical. Directing the active attention of motorists to roadway signs may require additional alerting devices such as a flashing beacon, roadway striping or changes in pavement texture. Signing for trail users may include a standard “STOP” or “YIELD” sign and pavement markings, possibly combined with other features such as bollards or a bend in the trail to slow bicyclists. Care must be taken not to place too many signs at crossings lest they begin to lose their visual impact.

A number of striping patterns have emerged over the years to delineate trail crossings. A median stripe on the trail approach will help to organize and warn trail users. Crosswalk striping is typically a matter of local and State preference, and may be accompanied by pavement treatments to help warn and slow motorists. In areas where motorists do not typically yield to crosswalk users, additional measures may be required to increase compliance.
**MARKED/UN SIGNALIZED CROSSINGS**

**Description**
A marked/unsignalized crossing typically consists of a marked crossing area, signage and other markings to slow or stop traffic. The approach to designing crossings at mid-block locations depends on an evaluation of vehicular traffic, line of sight, trail traffic, use patterns, vehicle speed, road type, road width, and other safety issues such as proximity to major attractions.

When space is available, using a median refuge island can improve user safety by providing pedestrians and bicyclists space to perform the safe crossing of one side of the street at a time.

**Guidance**

- Maximum traffic volumes
  - ≤9,000-12,000 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volume
  - Up to 15,000 ADT on two-lane roads, preferably with a median
  - Up to 12,000 ADT on four-lane roads with median

- Maximum travel speed
  - 35 MPH

- Minimum line of sight
  - 25 MPH zone: 155 feet
  - 35 MPH zone: 250 feet
  - 45 MPH zone: 360 feet

**Discussion**
Unsignalized crossings of multi-lane arterials over 15,000 ADT may be possible with features such as sufficient crossing gaps (more than 60 per hour), median refuges, and/or active warning devices like rectangular rapid flash beacons or in-pavement flashers, and excellent sight distance. For more information see the discussion of active warning beacons.

On roadways with low to moderate traffic volumes (<12,000 ADT) and a need to control traffic speeds, a raised crosswalk may be the most appropriate crossing design to improve pedestrian visibility and safety.

**Additional References and Guidelines**

**Materials and Maintenance**
Locate markings out of wheel tread when possible to minimize wear and maintenance costs.
ACTIVE WARNING BEACONS

Description
Enhanced marked crossings are unsignalized crossings with additional treatments designed to increase motor vehicle yielding compliance on multi-lane or high volume roadways.

These enhancements include trail user or sensor actuated warning beacons, Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacons (RRFB) shown below, or in-roadway warning lights.

Rectangular rapid flash beacons show the most increased compliance of all the warning beacon enhancement options.

Guidance
Guidance for marked/unsignalized crossings applies.

- Warning beacons shall not be used at crosswalks controlled by YIELD signs, STOP signs, or traffic control signals.
- Warning beacons shall initiate operation based on user actuation and shall cease operation at a predetermined time after the user actuation or, with passive detection, after the user clears the crosswalk.

Discussion
An FHWA report presented study results showing of the effectiveness of going from a no-beacon arrangement to a two-beacon RRFB installation increased yielding from 18 percent to 81 percent. A four-beacon arrangement raised compliance to 88%. Additional studies of long term installations show little to no decrease in yielding behavior over time. Additional studies in Oregon reported compliance rates as high as 99% when actuated.

Additional References and Guidelines
FHWA. Effects of Yellow Rectangular Rapid-Flashing Beacons on Yielding at Multilane Uncontrolled Crosswalks. 2010.

Materials and Maintenance
Locate markings out of wheel tread when possible to minimize wear and maintenance costs. Signing and striping need to be maintained to help users understand any unfamiliar traffic control.
ROUTE USERS TO SIGNALIZED CROSSINGS

Description
Trail crossings within approximately 400 feet of an existing signalized intersection with pedestrian crosswalks are typically diverted to the signalized intersection to avoid traffic operation problems when located so close to an existing signal. For this restriction to be effective, barriers and signing may be needed to direct trail users to the signalized crossing. If no pedestrian crossing exists at the signal, modifications should be made.

Guidance
Trail crossings should not be provided within approximately 400 feet of an existing signalized intersection. If possible, route trail directly to the signal.

Discussion
In the US, the minimum distance a marked crossing can be from an existing signalized intersection varies from approximately 250 to 660 feet. Engineering judgement and the context of the location should be taken into account when choosing the appropriate allowable setback. Pedestrians are particularly sensitive to out of direction travel and jaywalking may become prevalent if the distance is too great.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
If a sidewalk is used for crossing access, it should be kept clear of snow and debris and the surface should be level for wheeled users.
PEDESTRIAN HYBRID BEACON CROSSINGS

Description
Pedestrian hybrid beacons provide a high level of comfort for crossing users through the use of a red-signal indication to stop conflicting motor vehicle traffic.

Hybrid beacon installation faces only cross motor vehicle traffic, stays dark when inactive, and uses a unique ‘wig-wag’ signal phase to indicate activation. Vehicles have the option to proceed after stopping during the final flashing red phase, which can reduce motor vehicle delay when compared to a full signal installation.

Guidance
Hybrid beacons (illustrated here) may be installed without meeting traffic signal control warrants if roadway speed and volumes are excessive for comfortable trail crossings.

FHWA does not allow bicycle signals to be used with Hybrid beacons, though some cities have done so successfully.

To maximize safety when used for bicycle crossings, the flashing ‘wig-wag’ phase should be very short and occur after the pedestrian signal head has changed to a solid “DON’T WALK” indication as bicyclists can enter an intersection quickly.

Discussion
Shared use paved trail signals are normally activated by push buttons but may also be triggered by embedded loop, infrared, microwave or video detectors. The maximum delay for activation of the signal should be two minutes, with minimum crossing times determined by the width of the street.

Each crossing, regardless of traffic speed or volume, requires additional review by a registered engineer to identify sight lines, potential impacts on traffic progression, timing with adjacent signals, capacity and safety.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Hybrid beacons are subject to the same maintenance needs and requirements as standard traffic signals. Signing and striping need to be maintained to help users understand any unfamiliar traffic control.
**FULL TRAFFIC SIGNAL CROSSINGS**

**Description**
Signalized crossings increase trail and trail user visibility and traditionally gain the most compliance of any at-grade crossing for trail users through the use of a conventional signal indication to stop conflicting motor vehicle traffic.

A full traffic signal installation treats the trail crossing as a conventional 4-way intersection and provides standard red-yellow-green traffic signal heads for all legs of the intersection.

**Guidance**
Full traffic signal installations must meet MUTCD pedestrian, school or modified warrants. Additional guidance for signalized crossings:
- Located more than 300 feet from an existing signalized intersection
- Roadway travel speeds of 40 MPH and above
- Roadway ADT exceeds 15,000 vehicles

**Discussion**
Shared use paved trail signals are normally activated by push buttons but may also be triggered by embedded loop, infrared, microwave or video detectors. The maximum delay for activation of the signal should be two minutes, with minimum crossing times determined by the width of the street.

Each crossing, regardless of traffic speed or volume, requires additional review by a registered engineer to identify sight lines, potential impacts on traffic progression, timing with adjacent signals, capacity and safety.

**Additional References and Guidelines**

**Materials and Maintenance**
Traffic signals require routine maintenance. Signing and striping need to be maintained to help users understand any unfamiliar traffic control.
RAISED CROSSWALKS

Description
A raised crosswalk or intersection can eliminate grade changes from the pedestrian path and give pedestrians greater prominence as they cross the street. Raised crosswalks should be used only in very limited cases where a special emphasis on pedestrians is desired, and application should be reviewed on case-by-case basis.

Guidance
- Use detectable warnings at the curb edges to alert vision-impaired pedestrians that they are entering the roadway.
- Approaches to the raised crosswalk may be designed to be similar to speed humps.
- Raised crosswalks can also be used as a traffic calming treatment.

Discussion
Like a speed hump, raised crosswalks have a traffic slowing effect which may be unsuitable on emergency response routes.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Because the effectiveness of marked crossings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining marked crossings should be a high priority.
UNDECROSINGS

Description
Bicycle/pedestrian undercrossings provide critical non-motorized system links by joining areas separated by barriers such as railroads and highway corridors. In most cases, these structures are built in response to user demand for safe crossings where they previously did not exist.

There are no minimum roadway characteristics for considering grade separation. Depending on the type of facility or the desired user group grade separation may be considered in many types of projects.

Guidance
- 14 foot minimum width, greater widths preferred for lengths over 60 feet.
- 10 foot minimum height.
- The undercrossing should have a centerline stripe even if the rest of the trail does not have one.
- Lighting should be considered during the design process for any undercrossing with high anticipated use or in culverts and tunnels.

Discussion
Safety is a major concern with undercrossings. Shared use paved trail users may be temporarily out of sight from public view and may experience poor visibility themselves. To mitigate safety concerns, an undercrossing should be designed to be spacious, well-lit, equipped with emergency cell phones at each end and completely visible for its entire length from end to end.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
14 foot width allows for maintenance vehicle access.
Potential problems include conflicts with utilities, drainage, flood control and vandalism.
OVERCROSSINGS

**Description**

Bicycle/pedestrian overcrossings provide critical non-motorized system links by joining areas separated by barriers such as deep canyons, waterways or major transportation corridors. In most cases, these structures are built in response to user demand for safe crossings where they previously did not exist.

There are no minimum roadway characteristics for considering grade separation. Depending on the type of facility or the desired user group grade separation may be considered in many types of projects.

Overcrossings require a minimum of 17 feet of vertical clearance to the roadway below versus a minimum elevation differential of around 12 feet for an undercrossing. This results in potentially greater elevation differences and much longer ramps for bicycles and pedestrians to negotiate.

**Guidance**

8 foot minimum width, 14 feet preferred. If overcrossing has any scenic vistas additional width should be provided to allow for stopping. A separate 5 foot pedestrian area may be provided for facilities with high bicycle and pedestrian use.

10 foot headroom on overcrossing; clearance below will vary depending on feature being crossed.

Roadway: 17 feet  
Freeway: 18.5 feet  
Heavy Rail Line: 23 feet

The overcrossing should have a centerline stripe even if the rest of the trail does not have one.

**Discussion**

Overcrossings for bicycles and pedestrians typically fall under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), which strictly limits ramp slopes to 5% (1:20) with landings at 400 foot intervals, or 8.33% (1:12) with landings every 30 feet.

Overcrossings pose potential concerns about visual impact and functional appeal, as well as space requirements necessary to meet ADA guidelines for slope.

**Additional References and Guidelines**


**Materials and Maintenance**

Potential issues with vandalism.

Overcrossings can be more difficult to clear of snow than undercrossings.
**SIDEWALKS**

Sidewalks are the most fundamental element of the walking network, as they provide an area for pedestrian travel that is separated from vehicle traffic. Sidewalks are typically constructed out of concrete and are separated from the roadway by a curb or gutter and sometimes a landscaped planting strip area. Sidewalks are a common application in both urban and suburban environments.

Attributes of well-designed sidewalks include the following:

**Accessibility:** A network of sidewalks should be accessible to all users.

**Adequate width:** Two people should be able to walk side-by-side and pass a third comfortably. Different walking speeds should be possible. In areas of intense pedestrian use, sidewalks should accommodate the high volume of walkers.

**Safety:** Design features of the sidewalk should allow pedestrians to have a sense of security and predictability. Sidewalk users should not feel they are at risk due to the presence of adjacent traffic.

**Continuity:** Walking routes should be obvious and should not require pedestrians to travel out of their way unnecessarily.

**Landscaping:** Plantings and street trees should contribute to the overall psychological and visual comfort of sidewalk users, and be designed in a manner that contributes to the safety of people.

**Drainage:** Sidewalks should be well graded to minimize standing water.

**Social space:** There should be places for standing, visiting, and sitting. The sidewalk area should be a place where adults and children can safely participate in public life.

**Quality of place:** Sidewalks should contribute to the character of neighborhoods and business districts.
ZONES IN THE SIDEWALK CORRIDOR

Description

Sidewalks are the most fundamental element of the walking network, as they provide an area for pedestrian travel separated from vehicle traffic. A variety of considerations are important in sidewalk design. Providing adequate and accessible facilities can lead to increased numbers of people walking, improved safety, and the creation of social space.

Materials and Maintenance

Sidewalks are typically constructed out of concrete and are separated from the roadway by a curb or gutter and sometimes a landscaped space. Colored, patterned, or stamped concrete can add distinctive visual appeal.

Discussion

Sidewalks should be more than areas to travel; they should provide places for people to interact. There should be places for standing, visiting, and sitting. Sidewalks should contribute to the character of neighborhoods and business districts, strengthen their identity, and be an area where adults and children can safely participate in public life.

Additional References and Guidelines

USDOJ. ADA Standards for Accessible Design. 2010.

Materials and Maintenance

Sidewalks are typically constructed out of concrete and are separated from the roadway by a curb or gutter and sometimes a landscaped space. Colored, patterned, or stamped concrete can add distinctive visual appeal.
SIDEWALK WIDTHS

Description
The width and design of sidewalks will vary depending on street context, functional classification, and pedestrian demand. Below are preferred widths of each sidewalk zone according to general street type. Standardizing sidewalk guidelines for different areas of the City, dependent on the above listed factors, ensures a minimum level of quality for all sidewalks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>PARKING LANE/ENHANCEMENT ZONE</th>
<th>FURNISHING ZONE</th>
<th>PEDESTRIAN THROUGH ZONE</th>
<th>FRONTAGE ZONE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Streets</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>2 - 5 feet</td>
<td>4 - 6 feet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6 - 11 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Areas</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>5 - 6 feet</td>
<td>10 - 12 feet</td>
<td>10 feet</td>
<td>25 - 28 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterials and Collectors</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>2 - 6 feet</td>
<td>4 - 8 feet</td>
<td>2.5 - 5 feet</td>
<td>8 - 19 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
It is important to provide adequate width along a sidewalk corridor. Two people should be able to walk side-by-side and pass a third comfortably. In areas of high demand, sidewalks should contain adequate width to accommodate the high volumes and different walking speeds of pedestrians. The Americans with Disabilities Act requires a 4 foot clear width in the pedestrian zone plus 5 foot passing areas every 200 feet.

Additional References and Guidelines
USDOJ. ADA Standards for Accessible Design. 2010.

Materials and Maintenance
Sidewalks are typically constructed out of concrete and are separated from the roadway by a curb or gutter and sometimes a landscaped boulevard. Surfaces must be firm, stable, and slip resistant. Colored, patterned, or stamped concrete can add distinctive visual appeal.
**DRIVEWAYS AND SIDEWALK OBSTRUCTIONS**

**Description**

Driveway crossings can present challenges and potential conflicts for pedestrians, especially if they are designed with the movement of the motor vehicle prioritized at the expense of pedestrian circulation.

Reducing the number of accesses reduces the need for special provisions. This strategy should be pursued first.

**Guidance**

To the extent possible the sidewalk should be flat and uninterrupted through driveways, so that the priority is always with the pedestrian flow. Vehicles may be required to drive up or down to cross over the sidewalk, but this reinforces to the motorist that they need to use caution and slow speeds when crossing the pedestrian zone.

The use of a landscaped buffer area between the sidewalk and the street allows driveway slopes to occur within the landscape zone, and allows for a flat and level pedestrian through zone is always maintained through the driveway area.

**Discussion**

According to the United States Federal Highway Administration (FHWA): Well defined driveways clearly mark the area where motorists will be crossing the pedestrian’s path. Non-defined vehicle access points with continuous access to parking create a long conflict area between pedestrians and motorists. This added area of ambiguity complicates the motorist’s task of watching for pedestrians.

**Additional References and Guidelines**


**Materials and Maintenance**

Sidewalks are typically constructed out of concrete and are separated from the roadway by a curb or gutter and sometimes a landscaped space. Surfaces must be firm, stable, and slip resistant.
PEDESTRIAN AMENITIES

Street Trees
In addition to their aesthetic and environmental value, street trees can slow traffic and improve safety for pedestrians. Trees add visual interest to streets and narrow the street’s visual corridor, which may cause drivers to slow down. It is important that trees do not block light or the vision triangle.

Street Furniture
Providing benches at key rest areas and viewpoints encourages people of all ages to use the walkways by ensuring that they have a place to rest along the way. Benches should be 20” tall to accommodate elderly pedestrians comfortably. Benches can be simple (e.g., wood slats) or more ornate (e.g., stone, wrought iron, concrete). If alongside a parking zone, street furniture should be placed to minimize interference with passenger loading.

Green Features
Green stormwater strategies may include bioretention swales, rain gardens, tree box filters, and pervious pavements (pervious concrete, asphalt and pavers).

Bioswales are natural landscape elements that manage water runoff from a paved surface. Plants in the swale trap pollutants and silt from entering a river system.

Lighting
Pedestrian scale lighting improves visibility for both pedestrians and motorists - particularly at intersections. Pedestrian scale lighting can provide a vertical buffer between the sidewalk and the street, defining pedestrian areas. Pedestrian scale lighting should be used in areas of high pedestrian activity.

Discussion
Additional pedestrian amenities such as banners, public art, special paving, along with historical elements and cultural references, promote a sense of place. Public activities should be encouraged and commercial activities such as dining, vending and advertising may be permitted when they do not interfere with safety and accessibility.

Pedestrian amenities should be placed in the furnishing zone on a sidewalk corridor. See Zones in the Sidewalk Corridor for a discussion of the functional parts of a sidewalk. Signs, meters, tree wells should go between parking spaces.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Establishing and caring for your young street trees is essential to their health. Green features may require routine maintenance, including sediment and trash removal, and clearing curb openings and overflow drains.
PEDESTRIAN SCALE LIGHTING

Description
Pedestrian scale lighting improves visibility for both pedestrians and motorists - particularly at intersections and in areas of high pedestrian activity.

Pedestrian scale lighting is characterized by short light poles (around 15 feet high), close spacing, low levels of illumination (except at crossings), and the use of LED lamps to produce good color rendition, long service life and high energy efficiency.

Guidance
Locate lighting at the following locations:

- Pedestrian oriented areas
- Street crossings (intersection and mid block)
- Entrances and exits of bridges
- Areas near churches, schools, and community centers with nighttime pedestrian activity.

Placement details and dimensions:

- Spacing should be provided minimum illumination levels while limiting excess light pollution.
- Luminaries should direct light downward.
- Lighting poles should be placed in the furniture zone of the sidewalk and not interfere with pedestrian travel.

Discussion
Both street and pedestrian lighting levels should be considered for the same street corridor, especially in areas with tree canopy. “Dark Sky” lighting should be considered within residential districts.

Additional References and Guidelines
FHWA. Safety Effects of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations. 2005.

Materials and Maintenance
Low-cost light emitting diodes (LED) offer a wide range of light levels and can reduce long term utility costs.
Attributes of pedestrian-friendly intersection design include:

**Clear Space:** Corners should be clear of obstructions. They should also have enough room for curb ramps, for transit stops where appropriate, and for street conversations where pedestrians might congregate.

**Visibility:** It is critical that pedestrians on the corner have a good view of vehicle travel lanes and that motorists in the travel lanes can easily see waiting pedestrians.

**Legibility:** Symbols, markings, and signs used at corners should clearly indicate what actions the pedestrian should take.

**Accessibility:** All corner features, such as curb ramps, landings, call buttons, signs, symbols, markings, and textures, should meet accessibility standards and follow universal design principles.

**Separation from Traffic:** Corner design and construction should be effective in discouraging turning vehicles from driving over the pedestrian area. Crossing distances should be minimized.

**Lighting:** Adequate lighting is an important aspect of visibility, legibility, and accessibility.

These attributes will vary with context but should be considered in all design processes. For example, suburban and rural intersections may have limited or no signing. However, legibility regarding appropriate pedestrian movements should still be taken into account during design.
MARKED CROSSWALKS

Description
A marked crosswalk signals to motorists that they must stop for pedestrians and encourages pedestrians to cross at designated locations. Installing crosswalks alone will not necessarily make crossings safer especially on multi-lane roadways.

At mid-block locations, crosswalks can be marked where there is a demand for crossing and there are no nearby marked crosswalks.

Discussion
Continental crosswalk markings should be used at crossings with high pedestrian use or where vulnerable pedestrians are expected, including: school crossings, across arterial streets for pedestrian-only signals, at mid-block crosswalks, and at intersections where there is expected high pedestrian use and the crossing is not controlled by signals or stop signs.

See intersection signalization for a discussion of enhancing pedestrian crossings.

Guidance
At signalized intersections, all crosswalks should be marked. At unsignalized intersections, crosswalks may be marked under the following conditions:

- At a complex intersection, to orient pedestrians in finding their way across.
- At an offset intersection, to show pedestrians the shortest route across traffic with the least exposure to vehicular traffic and traffic conflicts.
- At an intersection with visibility constraints, to position pedestrians where they can best be seen by oncoming traffic.
- At an intersection within a school zone on a walking route.

Materials and Maintenance
Because the effectiveness of marked crossings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining marked crossings should be a high priority. Thermoplastic markings offer increased durability than conventional paint.
MEDIAN REFUGE ISLANDS

Description
Median refuge islands are located at the mid-point of a marked crossing and help improve pedestrian safety by allowing pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time. Refuge islands minimize pedestrian exposure by shortening crossing distance and increasing the number of available gaps for crossing.

Guidance
■ Can be applied on any roadway with a left turn center lane or median that is at least 6’ wide.
■ Appropriate at signalized or unsignalized crosswalks
■ The refuge island must be accessible, preferably with an at-grade passage through the island rather than ramps and landings.
■ The island should be at least 6’ wide between travel lanes (to accommodate bikes with trailers and wheelchair users) and at least 20’ long.
■ On streets with speeds higher than 25 mph there should also be double centerline marking, reflectors, and “KEEP RIGHT” signage.

Discussion
If a refuge island is landscaped, the landscaping should not compromise the visibility of pedestrians crossing in the crosswalk. Shrubs and ground plantings should be no higher than 1 ft 6 in.

On multi-lane roadways, consider configuration with active warning beacons for improved yielding compliance.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Refuge islands may collect road debris and may require somewhat frequent maintenance. Refuge islands should be visible to snow plow crews and should be kept free of snow berms that block access.
MINIMIZING CURB RADII

Description
The size of a curb’s radius can have a significant impact on pedestrian comfort and safety. A smaller curb radius provides more pedestrian area at the corner, allows more flexibility in the placement of curb ramps, results in a shorter crossing distance and requires vehicles to slow more on the intersection approach. During the design phase, the chosen radius should be the smallest possible for the circumstances.

Guidance
The radius may be as small as 3 ft where there are no turning movements, or 5 ft where there are turning movements, adequate street width, and a larger effective curb radius created by parking or bike lanes.

Discussion
Several factors govern the choice of curb radius in any given location. These include the desired pedestrian area of the corner, traffic turning movements, street classifications, design vehicle turning radius, intersection geometry, and whether there is parking or a bike lane (or both) between the travel lane and the curb.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Improperly designed curb radii at corners may be subject to damage by large trucks.
CURB EXTENSIONS

Description
Curb extensions minimize pedestrian exposure during crossing by shortening crossing distance and giving pedestrians a better chance to see and be seen before committing to crossing. They are appropriate for any crosswalk where it is desirable to shorten the crossing distance and there is a parking lane adjacent to the curb.

Guidance
■ In most cases, the curb extensions should be designed to transition between the extended curb and the running curb in the shortest practicable distance.
■ For purposes of efficient street sweeping, the minimum radius for the reverse curves of the transition is 10 ft and the two radii should be balanced to be nearly equal.
■ Curb extensions should terminate one foot short of the parking lane to maximize bicyclist safety.

Discussion
If there is no parking lane, adding curb extensions may be a problem for bicycle travel and truck or bus turning movements.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Planted curb extensions may be designed as a bioswale, a vegetated system for stormwater management.
ADA COMPLIANT CURB RAMPS

Description
Curb ramps are the design elements that allow all users to make the transition from the street to the sidewalk. There are a number of factors to be considered in the design and placement of curb ramps at corners. Properly designed curb ramps ensure that the sidewalk is accessible from the roadway. A sidewalk without a curb ramp can be useless to someone in a wheelchair, forcing them back to a driveway and out into the street for access.

Although diagonal curb ramps might save money, they create potential safety and mobility problems for pedestrians, including reduced maneuverability and increased interaction with turning vehicles, particularly in areas with high traffic volumes. Diagonal curb ramp configurations are the least preferred of all options.

Guidance
- The landing at the top of a ramp shall be at least 4 feet long and at least the same width as the ramp itself.
- The ramp shall slope no more than 1:12, with a maximum cross slope of 2.0%.
- If the ramp runs directly into a crosswalk, the landing at the bottom will be in the roadway.
- If the ramp lands on a dropped landing within the sidewalk or corner area where someone in a wheelchair may have to change direction, the landing must be a minimum of 5'-0" long and at least as wide as the ramp, although a width of 5'-0" is preferred.

Discussion
The edge of an ADA compliant curb ramp may be marked with a tactile warning device (also known as truncated domes) to alert people with visual impairments to changes in the pedestrian environment. Contrast between the raised tactile device and the surrounding infrastructure is important so that the change is readily evident. These devices are most effective when adjacent to smooth pavement so the difference is easily detected. The devices should provide color contrast so partially sighted people can see them.

Additional References and Guidelines
- USDOJ. ADA Standards for Accessible Design. 2010.

Materials and Maintenance
It is critical that the interface between a curb ramp and the street be maintained adequately. Asphalt street sections can develop potholes at the foot of the ramp, which can catch the front wheels of a wheelchair.
**Signalization**

Crossing beacons and signals facilitate crossings of roadways for pedestrians and bicyclists. Beacons make crossing intersections safer by clarifying when to enter an intersection and by alerting motorists to the presence of pedestrians and bicyclists.

Flashing amber warning beacons can be utilized at unsignalized intersection crossings. Push buttons, signage, and pavement markings may be used to highlight these facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists.

Determining which type of signal or beacon to use for a particular intersection depends on a variety of factors. These include speed limits, traffic volumes, and the anticipated levels of pedestrian and bicycle crossing traffic.

An intersection with crossing beacons may reduce stress and delays for crossing users, and discourage illegal and unsafe crossing maneuvers.

**Additional References and Guidelines**


**Materials and Maintenance**

It is important to repair or replace traffic control equipment before it fails. Consider semi-annual inspections of controller and signal equipment, intersection hardware, and loop detectors.
PEDESTRIANS AT SIGNALIZED CROSSINGS

Description

Pedestrian Signal Head

Pedestrian signal indicators demonstrate to pedestrians when to cross at a signalized crosswalk. All traffic signals should be equipped with pedestrian signal indications except where pedestrian crossing is prohibited by signage.

Countdown pedestrian signals are particularly valuable for pedestrians, as they indicate whether a pedestrian has time to cross the street before the signal phase ends. Countdown signals should be used at all signalized intersections.

Signal Timing

Providing adequate pedestrian crossing time is a critical element of the walking environment at signalized intersections. The MUTCD recommends traffic signal timing to assume a pedestrian walking speed of 3.5’ per second, meaning that the length of a signal phase with parallel pedestrian movements should provide sufficient time for a pedestrian to safely cross the adjacent street.

At crossings where older pedestrians or pedestrians with disabilities are expected, crossing speeds as low as 3’ per second may be assumed. Special pedestrian phases can be used to provide greater visibility or more crossing time for pedestrians at certain intersections.

In busy pedestrian areas such as downtowns, the pedestrian signal indication should be built into each signal phase, eliminating the requirement for a pedestrian to actuate the signal by pushing a button.

Discussion

When push buttons are used, they should be located so that someone in a wheelchair can reach the button from a level area of the sidewalk without deviating significantly from the natural line of travel into the crosswalk, and marked (for example, with arrows) so that it is clear which signal is affected.

In areas with very heavy pedestrian traffic, consider an all-pedestrian signal phase to give pedestrians free passage in the intersection when all motor vehicle traffic movements are stopped.

Additional References and Guidelines


Materials and Maintenance

It is important to repair or replace traffic control equipment before it fails. Consider semi-annual inspections of controller and signal equipment, intersection hardware, and loop detectors.
PEDESTRIAN HYBRID BEACON

Description

Hybrid beacons are used to improve non-motorized crossings of major streets. A hybrid beacon consists of a signal-head with two red lenses over a single yellow lens on the major street, and a pedestrian signal head for the crosswalk.

Guidance

- Hybrid beacons may be installed without meeting traffic signal control warrants if roadway speed and volumes are excessive for comfortable pedestrian crossings.
- If installed within a signal system, signal engineers should evaluate the need for the hybrid signal to be coordinated with other signals.
- Parking and other sight obstructions should be prohibited for at least 100 feet in advance of and at least 20 feet beyond the marked crosswalk to provide adequate sight distance.

Discussion

Hybrid beacon signals are normally activated by push buttons, but may also be triggered by infrared, microwave or video detectors. The maximum delay for activation of the signal should be two minutes, with minimum crossing times determined by the width of the street. Each crossing, regardless of traffic speed or volume, requires additional review by a registered engineer to identify sight lines, potential impacts on traffic progression, timing with adjacent signals, capacity, and safety.

Additional References and Guidelines


Materials and Maintenance

Hybrid beacons are subject to the same maintenance needs and requirements as standard traffic signals. Signing and striping need to be maintained to help users understand any unfamiliar traffic control.
ON STREET BICYCLE FACILITY DESIGN

BICYCLE FACILITY SELECTION GUIDELINES

This section summarizes the bicycle facility selection typology developed for the City of Frisco. The specific facility type that should be provided depends on the surrounding environment (e.g. auto speed and volume, topography, and adjacent land use) and expected bicyclist needs (e.g. bicyclists commuting on a highway versus students riding to school on residential streets).

Facility Selection Guidelines

There are no ‘hard and fast’ rules for determining the most appropriate type of bicycle facility for a particular location — roadway speeds, volumes, right-of-way width, presence of parking, adjacent land uses, and expected bicycle user types are all critical elements of this decision. Studies find that the most significant factors influencing bicycle use are motor vehicle traffic volumes and speeds. Additionally, most bicyclists prefer facilities separated from motor vehicle traffic or located on local roads with low motor vehicle traffic speeds and volumes. Because off-street pathways are physically separated from the roadway, they are perceived as safe and attractive routes for bicyclists who prefer to avoid motor vehicle traffic. Consistent use of treatments and application of bikeway facilities allow users to anticipate whether they would feel comfortable riding on a particular facility, and plan their trips accordingly. This section provides guidance on various factors that affect the type of facilities that should be provided.
Description

Consistent with bicycle facility classifications throughout the nation, these Bicycle Facility Design Guidelines identify the following classes of facilities by degree of separation from motor vehicle traffic.

Shared roadways are bikeways where bicyclists and cars operate within the same travel lane, either side by side or in single file depending on roadway configuration. The most basic type of bikeway is a signed shared roadway. This facility provides continuity with other bicycle facilities (usually bike lanes), or designates preferred routes through high-demand corridors.

Shared roadways may also be designated by pavement markings, signage and other treatments including directional signage, traffic diverters, chicanes, chokers and/or other traffic calming devices to reduce vehicle speeds or volumes. Shared-lane markings are included in this class of treatments.

Separated bikeways, such as bike lanes, use signage and striping to delineate the right-of-way assigned to bicyclists and motorists. Bike lanes encourage predictable movements by both bicyclists and motorists. Paved Shoulders are also included in this classification.

Cycle tracks, are exclusive bike facilities that combine the user experience of a separated path with the on-street infrastructure of conventional bike lanes.
FACILITY CONTINUA

The following continua illustrate the range of bicycle facilities applicable to various roadway environments, based on the roadway type and desired degree of separation. Engineering judgment, traffic studies, previous municipal planning efforts, community input, and local context should be used to refine criteria when developing bicycle facility recommendations for a particular street. In some corridors, it may be desirable to construct facilities to a higher level of treatment than those recommended in relevant planning documents in order to enhance user safety and comfort. In other cases, existing and/or future motor vehicle speeds and volumes may not justify the recommended level of separation, and a less intensive treatment may be acceptable.
**Shared Roadways**

On shared roadways, bicyclists and motor vehicles use the same roadway space. Sharing may include side-by-side operation, or single lane in-line operation depending on the configuration.

These facilities are typically used on roads with low speeds and traffic volumes, however they can be used on higher volume roads with wide outside lanes or shoulders. A motor vehicle driver will usually have to cross over into the adjacent travel lane to pass a bicyclist, unless a wide outside lane or shoulder is provided.

Shared roadways employ a large variety of treatments from simple signage and shared lane markings to more complex treatments including directional signage, traffic diverters, chicanes, chokers, and/or other traffic calming devices to reduce vehicle speeds or volumes.

**Bicycle Boulevards**

Bicycle boulevards are a special class of shared roadways designed for a broad spectrum of bicyclists. They are low-volume local streets where motorists and bicyclists share the same travel lane. Treatments for bicycle boulevards are selected as necessary to create appropriate automobile volumes and speeds, and to provide safe crossing opportunities of busy streets.
Rural Roads

Description
Rural roads are often the primary routes connecting communities. These roads pass through less-dense areas, and are usually paved roadways with striped shoulders, but no curb and gutter. Sidewalk provision on rural roads is uncommon.

Shoulders wide enough for bicycle travel are the preferred type of bicycle facility on rural roads. Shoulder bikeways often, but not always, include signage alerting motorists to expect bicycle travel along the roadway.

Guidance
- If 4 feet or more is available for bicycle travel, the full bike lane treatment of signs, legends, and a 6” bike lane line would be provided.
- If it is not possible to meet minimum bicycle lane dimensions, a reduced width paved shoulder can still improve conditions for bicyclists on constrained roadways. In these situations, a minimum of 3 feet of operating space should be provided.
- Rumble strips are not recommended on shoulders used by bicyclists unless there is a minimum 4 foot clear path. 12 foot gaps every 40-60 feet should be provided to allow access as needed.

Discussion
A wide outside lane may be sufficient accommodation for bicyclists on streets with insufficient width for bike lanes but which do have space available to provide a wider (14’-16’) outside travel lane. Consider configuring as a marked shared roadway in these locations.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Paint can wear more quickly in high traffic areas or in winter climates. Shoulder bikeways should be cleared of snow through routine snow removal operations.
**Signed Shared Roadway**

**Description**
Signed shared roadways are facilities shared with motor vehicles. They are typically used on roads with low speeds and traffic volumes; however, they can be used on higher volume roads with wide outside lanes or shoulders. A motor vehicle driver will usually have to cross over into the adjacent travel lane to pass a bicyclist, unless a wide outside lane or shoulder is provided.

**Guidance**
Lane width varies depending on roadway configuration.
Bike route signage (D11-1) should be applied at intervals frequent enough to keep bicyclists informed of changes in route direction and to remind motorists of the presence of bicyclists. Commonly, this includes placement at:
- Beginning or end of Bicycle Route.
- At major changes in direction or at intersections with other bicycle routes.
- At intervals along bicycle routes not to exceed 1/2 mile.

**Discussion**
Signed Shared Roadways serve either to provide continuity with other bicycle facilities (usually bike lanes) or to designate preferred routes through high-demand corridors.
This configuration differs from a neighborhood greenway due to a lack of traffic calming, wayfinding, pavement markings and other enhancements designed to provide a higher level of comfort for a broad spectrum of users.

**Additional References and Guidelines**

**Materials and Maintenance**
Maintenance needs for bicycle wayfinding signs are similar to other signs, and will need periodic replacement due to wear.
## MARKED SHARED ROADWAY

### Description

A marked shared roadway is a general purpose travel lane marked with shared lane markings (SLM) used to encourage bicycle travel and proper positioning within the lane.

In constrained conditions, the SLMs are placed in the middle of the lane to discourage unsafe passing by motor vehicles. On a wide outside lane, the SLMs can be used to promote bicycle travel to the right of motor vehicles.

In all conditions, SLMs should be placed outside of the door zone of parked cars.

### Guidance

- May be used on streets with a speed limit of 35 mph or under. Lower than 30 mph speed limit preferred.
- In constrained conditions, preferred placement is in the center of the travel lane to minimize wear and promote single file travel.
- Minimum placement of SLM marking centerline is 11 feet from edge of curb where on-street parking is present, 4 feet from edge of curb with no parking. If parking lane is wider than 7.5 feet, the SLM should be moved further out accordingly.

### Discussion

If collector or arterial, this should not be a substitute for dedicated bicycle facilities if space is available.

Bike Lanes should be considered on roadways with outside travel lanes wider than 15 feet, or where other lane narrowing or removal strategies may provide adequate road space. SLMs shall not be used on shoulders, in designated bike lanes, or to designate bicycle detection at signalized intersections. (MUTCD 9C.07)

### Additional References and Guidelines


### Materials and Maintenance

Placing SLMs between vehicle tire tracks will increase the life of the markings and minimize the long-term cost of the treatment.
Main Streets

Description
Inviting, walkable streets form the historic and cultural core of many communities. These streets are the primary streets through the middle of community “downtowns,” and they serve many uses as a commercial hub, social space and transportation corridor.

Main streets should prioritize the needs of pedestrians through the urban form of land uses, the provision of on street parking and the calming of traffic to make street crossing opportunities frequent, safe and comfortable.

Discussion
If the main street area is configured as a couplet, these design elements should extend, at a minimum, to both ends of the couplet, and on both streets.

Other streets within a main street district can also benefit from improvements. If connecting streets have commercial uses or functions as a secondary gateway to the main street, they should at a minimum, have wide sidewalks, pedestrian lighting and street trees.

Additional References and Guidelines

Guidance
Main Streets have a variety of design characteristics in different communities, but they often include the following key components:
- Wide sidewalks
- Lighting and furnishings
- Parking between the sidewalk and lanes of travel
- Curb extensions
- Landscaping
- Decorative pavers
- High visibility crosswalks
- Bicycle parking

Materials and Maintenance
Placing Shared Lane Markings between vehicle tire tracks will increase the life of the markings and minimize the long-term cost of the treatment.
BICYCLE BOULEVARD

Description
Bicycle boulevards are low-volume, low-speed streets modified to enhance bicyclist comfort by using treatments such as signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and/or traffic reduction, and intersection modifications. These treatments allow through movements of bicyclists while discouraging similar through-trips by non-local motorized traffic.

Discussion
Bicycle boulevard retrofits to local streets are typically located on streets without existing signalized accommodation at crossings of collector and arterial roadways. Without treatments for bicyclists, these intersections can become major barriers along the bicycle boulevard and compromise safety.

Traffic calming can deter motorists from driving on a street. Anticipate and monitor vehicle volumes on adjacent streets to determine whether traffic calming results in inappropriate volumes. Traffic calming can be implemented on a trial basis. For more information see the Traffic Calming section in this guide.

Guidance
- Signs and pavement markings are the minimum treatments necessary to designate a street as a bicycle boulevard.
- Bicycle boulevards should have a maximum posted speed of 25 mph. Use traffic calming to maintain an 85th percentile speed below 22 mph.
- Implement volume control treatments based on the context of the bicycle boulevard, using engineering judgment. Target motor vehicle volumes range from 1,000 to 3,000 vehicles per day in most communities.
- Intersection crossings should be designed to enhance safety and minimize delay for bicyclists.

Additional References and Guidelines
FHWA. BIKESAFE Bicycle Countermeasure Selection System. 2015.

Materials and Maintenance
Vegetation should be regularly trimmed to maintain visibility and attractiveness.
Designated exclusively for bicycle travel, separated bikeways are segregated from vehicle travel lanes by striping, and can include pavement stencils and other treatments. Separated bikeways are most appropriate on arterial and collector streets where higher traffic volumes and speeds warrant greater separation.

Separated bikeways can increase safety and promote proper riding by:

- Defining road space for bicyclists and motorists, reducing the possibility that motorists will stray into the bicyclists’ path.
- Discouraging bicyclists from riding on the sidewalk.
- Reducing the incidence of wrong way riding.
- Reminding motorists that bicyclists have a right to the road.
SHOULDER BIKEWAYS

Description
Typically found in less-dense areas, shoulder bikeways are paved roadways with striped shoulders (4‘+) wide enough for bicycle travel. Shoulder bikeways often, but not always, include signage alerting motorists to expect bicycle travel along the roadway. Shoulder bikeways should be considered a temporary treatment, with full bike lanes planned for construction when the roadway is widened or completed with curb and gutter. This type of treatment is not typical in urban areas and should only be used where constraints exist.

Guidance
- If 4 feet or more is available for bicycle travel, the full bike lane treatment of signs, legends, and an 8” bike lane line would be provided.
- If it is not possible to meet minimum bicycle lane dimensions, a reduced width paved shoulder can still improve conditions for bicyclists on constrained roadways. In these situations, a minimum of 3 feet of operating space should be provided.
- Rumble strips are not recommended on shoulders used by bicyclists unless there is a minimum 4 foot clear path. 12 foot gaps every 40-60 feet should be provided to allow access as needed.

Discussion
A wide outside lane may be sufficient accommodation for bicyclists on streets with insufficient width for bike lanes but which do have space available to provide a wider (14’-16’) outside travel lane. Consider configuring as a marked shared roadway in these locations.

Where feasible, roadway widening should be performed with pavement resurfacing jobs.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Paint can wear more quickly in high traffic areas or in winter climates. Shoulder bikeways should be cleared of snow through routine snow removal operations.
**BICYCLE LANE**

**Description**
Bike lanes designate an exclusive space for bicyclists through the use of pavement markings and signage. The bike lane is located adjacent to motor vehicle travel lanes and is used in the same direction as motor vehicle traffic. Bike lanes are typically on the right side of the street, between the adjacent travel lane and curb, road edge or parking lane.

Many cyclists, particularly less experienced riders, are more comfortable riding on a busy street if it has a striped and signed bikeway than if they are expected to share a lane with vehicles.

**Guidance**
- 4 foot minimum when no curb and gutter is present.
- 5 foot minimum when adjacent to curb and gutter or 3 feet more than the gutter pan width if the gutter pan is wider than 2 feet.
- 14.5 foot preferred from curb face to edge of bike lane. (12 foot minimum).
- 7 foot maximum width for use adjacent to arterials with high travel speeds. Greater widths may encourage motor vehicle use of bike lane.

**Discussion**
Wider bicycle lanes are desirable in certain situations such as on higher speed arterials (45 mph+) where use of a wider bicycle lane would increase separation between passing vehicles and bicyclists. Appropriate signing and stenciling is important with wide bicycle lanes to ensure motorists do not mistake the lane for a vehicle lane or parking lane. Consider buffered bike lanes when further separation is desired.

**Additional References and Guidelines**

**Materials and Maintenance**
Paint can wear more quickly in high traffic areas or in winter climates. Bicycle lanes should be cleared of snow through routine snow removal operations.
BUFFERED BIKE LANE

Description
Buffered bike lanes are conventional bicycle lanes paired with a designated buffer space, separating the bicycle lane from the adjacent motor vehicle travel lane and/or parking lane. Buffered bike lanes follow general guidance for buffered preferential vehicle lanes as per MUTCD guidelines (section 3D-01).

Buffered bike lanes are designed to increase the space between the bike lane and the travel lane and/or parked cars. This treatment is appropriate for bike lanes on roadways with high motor vehicle traffic volumes and speed, adjacent to parking lanes, or a high volume of truck or oversized vehicle traffic.

Buffered bike lanes can buffer the travel lane only, or parking lane only depending on available space and the objectives of the design.

Guidance
- The minimum bicycle travel area is 5 feet wide.
- Buffers should be at least 2 feet wide. If 3 feet or wider, mark with diagonal or chevron hatching. For clarity at driveways or minor street crossings, consider a dotted line for the inside buffer boundary where cars are expected to cross.

Discussion
Frequency of right turns by motor vehicles at major intersections should determine whether continuous or truncated buffer striping should be used approaching the intersection. Commonly configured as a buffer between the bicycle lane and motor vehicle travel lane, a parking side buffer may also be provided to help bicyclists avoid the ‘door zone’ of parked cars.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Paint can wear more quickly in high traffic areas or in winter climates. Bicycle lanes should be cleared of snow through routine snow removal operations.
**CYCLE TRACKS**

**Description**
A cycle track is an exclusive bike facility that combines the user experience of a separated trail with the on-street infrastructure of a conventional bike lane. A cycle track is physically separated from motor traffic and distinct from the sidewalk. Cycle tracks have different forms but all share common elements—they provide space that is intended to be exclusively or primarily used by bicycles, and are separated from motor vehicle travel lanes, parking lanes, and sidewalks.

Raised cycle tracks may be at the level of the adjacent sidewalk or set at an intermediate level between the roadway and sidewalk to separate the cycle track from the pedestrian area.

**Guidance**
Cycle tracks should ideally be placed along streets with long blocks and few driveways or mid-block access points for motor vehicles.

**One-Way Cycle Tracks**
- 7 foot recommended minimum to allow passing. 5 foot minimum width in constrained locations.

**Two-Way Cycle Tracks**
- Cycle tracks located on one-way streets have fewer potential conflict areas than those on two-way streets.
- 12 foot recommended minimum for two-way facility. 8 foot minimum in constrained locations

**Discussion**
Special consideration should be given at transit stops to manage bicycle and pedestrian interactions. Driveways and minor street crossings are unique challenges to cycle track design. Parking should be prohibited within 30 feet of the intersection to improve visibility. Color, yield markings and “Yield to Bikes” signage should be used to identify the conflict area and make it clear that the cycle track has priority over entering and exiting traffic. If configured as a raised cycle track, the crossing should be raised so that the sidewalk and cycle track maintain their elevation through the crossing.

**Additional References and Guidelines**

**Materials and Maintenance**
In cities with winter climates, barrier separated and raised cycle tracks may require special equipment for snow removal.
Intersections are junctions at which different modes of transportation meet and facilities overlap. An intersection facilitates the interchange between bicyclists, motorists, pedestrians and other modes in order to advance traffic flow in a safe and efficient manner. Designs for intersections with bicycle facilities should reduce conflict between bicyclists (and other vulnerable road users) and vehicles by heightening the level of visibility, denoting clear right-of-way and facilitating eye contact and awareness with other modes. Intersection treatments can improve both queuing and merging maneuvers for bicyclists, and are often coordinated with timed or specialized signals.

The configuration of a safe intersection for bicyclists may include elements such as color, signage, medians, signal detection and pavement markings. Intersection design should take into consideration existing and anticipated bicyclist, pedestrian and motorist movements. In all cases, the degree of mixing or separation between bicyclists and other modes is intended to reduce the risk of crashes and increase bicyclist comfort. The level of treatment required for bicyclists at an intersection will depend on the bicycle facility type used, whether bicycle facilities are intersecting, and the adjacent street function and land use.
BIKE BOX

Description
A bike box is a designated area located at the head of a traffic lane at a signalized intersection that provides bicyclists with a safe and visible space to get in front of queuing motorized traffic during the red signal phase. Motor vehicles must queue behind the white stop line at the rear of the bike box.

Guidance
- 14’ minimum depth
- A “No Turn on Red” (MUTCD R10-11) sign shall be installed overhead to prevent vehicles from entering the Bike Box.
- A “Stop Here on Red” sign should be post-mounted at the stop line to reinforce observance of the stop line.
- A “Yield to Bikes” sign should be post-mounted in advance of and in conjunction with an egress lane to reinforce that bicyclists have the right-of-way going through the intersection.
- An ingress lane should be used to provide access to the box.
- A supplemental “Wait Here” legend can be provided in advance of the stop bar to increase clarity to motorists.

Discussion
Bike boxes are considered experimental by the FHWA.
Bike boxes should be placed only at signalized intersections, and right turns on red shall be prohibited for motor vehicles. Bike boxes should be used in locations that have a large volume of bicyclists and are best utilized in central areas where traffic is usually moving more slowly. Prohibiting right turns on red improves safety for bicyclists yet does not significantly impede motor vehicle travel.

Additional References and Guidelines
FHWA. Interim Approval (IA-14) has been granted. Requests to use green colored pavement need to comply with the provisions of Paragraphs 14 through 22 of Section 1A.10. 2011.

Materials and Maintenance
Because the effectiveness of markings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining markings should be a high priority.
BIKE LANES AT RIGHT TURN ONLY LANES

Description
The appropriate treatment at right-turn lanes is to place the bike lane between the right-turn lane and the right-most through lane or, where right-of-way is insufficient, to use a shared bike lane/turn lane.

The design (right) illustrates a bike lane pocket, with signage indicating that motorists should yield to bicyclists through the conflict area.

Guidance
At auxiliary right turn only lanes (add lane):
- Continue existing bike lane width; standard width of 5 to 6 feet or 4 feet in constrained locations.
- Use signage to indicate that motorists should yield to bicyclists through the conflict area.
- Consider using colored conflict areas to promote visibility of the mixing zone.

Where a through lane becomes a right turn only lane:
- Do not define a dotted line merging path for bicyclists.
- Drop the bicycle lane in advance of the merge area.
- Shared lane markings may be used to indicate shared use of the lane in the merging zone.

Discussion
For other potential approaches to providing accommodations for bicyclists at intersections with turn lanes, please see shared bike lane/turn lane, bicycle signals, and colored bike facilities.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Because the effectiveness of markings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining markings should be a high priority.
**COLORED BIKE LINES IN CONFLICT AREAS**

**Description**
Colored pavement within a bicycle lane increases the visibility of the facility and reinforces priority of bicyclists in conflict areas.

**Guidance**
- Green colored pavement was given interim approval by the Federal Highways Administration in March 2011. See interim approval for specific color standards.
- The colored surface should be skid resistant and retro-reflective.
- A “Yield to Bikes” sign should be used at intersections or driveway crossings to reinforce that bicyclists have the right-of-way in colored bike lane areas.

**Discussion**
Evaluations performed in Portland, OR, St. Petersburg, FL and Austin, TX found that significantly more motorists yielded to bicyclists and slowed or stopped before entering the conflict area after the application of the colored pavement when compared with an uncolored treatment.

**Additional References and Guidelines**
FHWA. Interim Approval (IA-14) has been granted. Requests to use green colored pavement need to comply with the provisions of Paragraphs 14 through 22 of Section IA.10. 2011.

**Materials and Maintenance**
Because the effectiveness of markings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining markings should be a high priority.
COLORED PAVEMENT MARKINGS AT DRIVEWAY CROSSINGS

**Description**
Colored pavement across driveway entrances increases the visibility of the facility and reinforces priority of users in these areas for motorists.

**Guidance**
- Appropriate in areas with high volumes of driveways and entering/exiting traffic.
- Green colored pavement was given interim approval by the Federal Highways Administration in March 2011. See interim approval for specific color standards.
- May use solid or striping patterns for high visibility.
- The colored surface should be skid resistant and retro-reflective.

---

**Additional References and Guidelines**
FHWA. Interim Approval (IA-14) has been granted. Requests to use green colored pavement need to comply with the provisions of Paragraphs 14 through 22 of Section 1A.10. 2011. NACTO. *Urban Bikeway Design Guide*. 2012.

**Materials and Maintenance**
Because the effectiveness of markings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining markings should be a high priority.

---

()`APPENDIX: DESIGN GUIDELINES`
COMBINED BIKE LANE / TURN LANE

Description
The combined bicycle/right turn lane places a standard-width bike lane on the left side of a dedicated right turn lane. A dotted line delineates the space for bicyclists and motorists within the shared lane. This treatment includes signage advising motorists and bicyclists of proper positioning within the lane.

This treatment is recommended at intersections lacking sufficient space to accommodate both a standard through bike lane and right turn lane.

Guidance
- Maximum shared turn lane width is 13 feet; narrower is preferable.
- Bike Lane pocket should have a minimum width of 4 feet with 5 feet preferred.
- A dotted 4 inch line and bicycle lane marking should be used to clarify bicyclist positioning within the combined lane, without excluding cars from the suggested bicycle area.
- A “Right Turn Only” sign with an “Except Bicycles” plaque may be needed to make it legal for through bicyclists to use a right turn lane.

Discussion
Case studies cited by the Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center indicate that this treatment works best on streets with lower posted speeds (30 MPH or less) and with lower traffic volumes (10,000 ADT or less). May not be appropriate for high-speed arterials or intersections with long right turn lanes. May not be appropriate for intersections with large percentages of right-turning heavy vehicles.

Additional References and Guidelines
This treatment is currently slated for inclusion in the next edition of the AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities.

Materials and Maintenance
Locate markings out of tire tread to minimize wear. Because the effectiveness of markings depends on their visibility, maintaining markings should be a high priority.
INTERSECTION CROSSING MARKINGS

Description
Bicycle pavement markings through intersections indicate the intended path of bicyclists through an intersection or across a driveway or ramp. They guide bicyclists on a safe and direct path through the intersection and provide a clear boundary between the paths of through bicyclists and either through or crossing motor vehicles in the adjacent lane.

Guidance
- See MUTCD Section 3B.08: “dotted line extensions.”
- Crossing striping shall be at least six inches wide when adjacent to motor vehicle travel lanes. Dotted lines should be two-foot lines spaced two to six feet apart.
- Chevrons, shared lane markings, or colored bike lanes in conflict areas may be used to increase visibility within conflict areas or across entire intersections. Elephant’s Feet markings are common in Europe and Canada.

Discussion
Additional markings such as chevrons, shared lane markings, or colored bike lanes in conflict areas are strategies currently in use in the United States and Canada. Cities considering the implementation of markings through intersections should standardize future designs to avoid confusion.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Because the effectiveness of marked crossings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining marked crossings should be a high priority.
**TWO-STAGE TURN BOXES**

**Description**

Two-stage turn queue boxes offer bicyclists a safe way to make left turns at multi-lane signalized intersections from a right side cycle track or bike lane.

On right side cycle tracks, bicyclists are often unable to merge into traffic to turn left due to physical separation, making the provision of two-stage left turn boxes critical. Design guidance for two-stage turns apply to both bike lanes and cycle tracks.

**Guidance**

- The queue box shall be placed in a protected area. Typically this is within an on-street parking lane or cycle track buffer area.
- **6’ minimum depth of bicycle storage area**
- Bicycle stencil and turn arrow pavement markings shall be used to indicate proper bicycle direction and positioning.
- A “No Turn on Red” (MUTCD R10-11) sign may be installed on the cross street to prevent vehicles from entering the turn box.

**Discussion**

Two-Stage Turn boxes are considered experimental by FHWA.

While two stage turns may increase bicyclist comfort in many locations, this configuration will typically result in higher average signal delay for bicyclists due to the need to receive two separate green signal indications (one for the through street, followed by one for the cross street) before proceeding.

**Additional References and Guidelines**


**Materials and Maintenance**

Paint can wear more quickly in high traffic areas or in winter climates.
**BICYCLISTS AT SINGLE LANE MODERN ROUNDABOUTS**

**Description**
Roundabouts are circular intersection designed with yield control for all entering traffic, channelized approaches and geometry to induce desirable speeds. They are used as an alternative to intersection signalization.

Other circulatory intersection designs exist but they function differently than the modern roundabout. These include:

Traffic circles (also known as rotaries) are old style circular intersections used in some cities in the US where traffic signals or stop signs are used to control one or more entry.

Neighborhood Traffic Circles are small-sized circular intersections of local streets. They may be uncontrolled or stop controlled, and do not channelize entry.

**Guidelines**
It is important to indicate to motorists, bicyclists and pedestrians the right-of-way rules and correct way for them to circulate, using appropriately designed signage, pavement markings, and geometric design elements.

- 25 mph maximum circulating design speed.
- Design approaches/exits to the lowest speeds possible.
- Encourage bicyclists navigating the roundabout like motor vehicles to “take the lane.”
- Maximize yielding rate of motorists to pedestrians and bicyclists at crosswalks.
- Provide separated facilities for bicyclists who prefer not to navigate the roundabout on the roadway.

**Discussion**
Research indicates that while single-lane roundabouts may benefit bicyclists and pedestrians by slowing traffic, multi-lane roundabouts may present greater challenges and significantly increase safety problems for these users.

On bicycle routes a roundabout or neighborhood traffic circle is preferable to stop control as bicyclists do not like to lose their momentum due to physical effort required. At intersections of shared use paved trails, pedestrian and bicycle only roundabouts are an excellent form of non-motorized user traffic control.

**Additional References and Guidelines**
- TRB. NCHRP 672 Roundabouts: An Informational Guide. 2010.
- TRB. NCHRP 674 Crossing Solutions at Roundabouts and Channelized Turn Lanes for Pedestrians with Vision Disabilities. 2011.
- FHWA.

**Materials and Maintenance**
Signage and striping require routine maintenance.
BIKEWAYS THROUGH FREEWAY U-TURN INTERCHANGES

U-turn interchanges are used in coordination with one-way outer roadways. These U-turns eliminate the need to access the one-way outer road in the opposite direction and the need to negotiate through traffic signals. This type of interchange configuration is present at most interchanges in the City of Frisco where freeways access the surface street system and introduce frequent conflict points and constrained accommodations for bicyclists and pedestrians. These locations are also often pinch points in the biking and walking network, where bicyclists and pedestrians have few other options to travel across the freeway. Accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians through these areas requires modifications to provide high-quality and low-stress facilities, raise awareness, and mitigate conflicts.

**TYPICAL APPLICATION**

- U-turn interchanges
- Channelized turn lanes
- High volume turn lanes
- Freeway ramps

**DESIGN FEATURES**

A. A bicycle facility should be introduced and maintained continuously through the interchange area.

To reduce speeds through the intersection, channelized turn lanes should be either:

B. removed and converted to conventional turn lanes

C. or designed with low-speed, pedestrian friendly geometry.

D. Bikeway crossings should be marked with intersection crossing markings.

E. Small corner radii should be used to create slow-speed turning conditions.
BICYCLE FACILITY OPTIONS

BUFFERED CYCLE TRACK THROUGH INTERCHANGE

- If room permits between curbs, a buffered cycle track can be added at street level while maintaining a sidewalk for pedestrians at the curb level.
- A minimum 6 foot cycle track with a 2 foot buffer would be most comfortable to travel through the interchange. A sidewalk adjacent to the U-turn lane needs to be a minimum of 6 feet without buffer.

RAISED CYCLE TRACK THROUGH INTERCHANGE

- A separated cycle track and sidewalk at curb level can be introduced through the interchange if there is a minimum of 6 feet for the cycle track. An additional 2 foot buffer between the curb and travel lane is recommended.
- A sidewalk adjacent to the U-turn lane needs to be a minimum of 6 feet without buffer.

SIDEPATH THROUGH INTERCHANGE

- A raised shared-use sidepath through the interchange can be used on either side of the columns if there is a minimum of 8 feet of space for the sidepath plus 2 foot buffer at the traffic side.
- Sidepaths can be bidirectional if there is insufficient space between within the interchange. Additional intersection guidance is provided on the following page to transition from single-direction travel to bidirectional travel through the interchange.
BIDIRECTIONAL TRAVEL THROUGH INTERCHANGE FOR SHARED-USE PATHS

Mark bicycle-specific crossings.

Establish bicycle waiting areas in each direction for bicycles to stop out of the way of pedestrians, bicycles traveling through, and vehicles. A minimum 8 foot by 6.5 foot area will allow for two bicyclists; 8 to 10 feet by 12 feet is preferred.

Use pavement markings on the path within the interchange to delineate between bicycle and pedestrian traffic.

Figure C1. Pavement markings on a shared-use bidirectional path
**CONSTRUCTION COSTS**

The cost for installing bicycle lanes at interchange ramps will depend on the implementation approach.

Reconstruction of U-Turn interchanges involve substantial costs where curbs and drainage must be relocated.

Interim design strategies with markings and flexible delineator posts may be possible.
BIKEWAY SIGNALIZATION

Bicycle signals and beacons facilitate bicyclist crossings of roadways. Bicycle signals make crossing intersections safer for bicyclists by clarifying when to enter an intersection and by restricting conflicting vehicle movements. Bicycle signals are traditional three lens signal heads with green, yellow and red bicycle stenciled lenses that can be employed at standard signalized intersections. Flashing amber warning beacons can be utilized at unsignalized intersection crossings. Push buttons, signage, and pavement markings may be used to supplement these facilities for both bicyclists and motorists.

Determining which type of signal or beacon to use for a particular intersection depends on a variety of factors. These include speed limits, Average Daily Traffic (ADT), anticipated bicycle crossing traffic, and the configuration of planned or existing bicycle facilities. Signals may be necessary as part of the construction of a protected bicycle facility such as a cycle track with potential turning conflicts, or to decrease vehicle or pedestrian conflicts at major crossings. An intersection with bicycle signals may reduce stress and delays for a crossing bicyclist, and discourage illegal and unsafe crossing maneuvers.
**BICYCLE DETECTION AND ACTUATION**

**Description**

*Push Button Actuation*

User-activated button mounted on a pole facing the street.

*Loop Detectors*

Bicycle-activated loop detectors are installed within the roadway to allow the presence of a bicycle to trigger a change in the traffic signal. This allows the bicyclist to stay within the lane of travel without having to maneuver to the side of the road to trigger a push button.

Loops that are sensitive enough to detect bicycles should be supplemented with pavement markings to instruct bicyclists how to trip them.

*Video Detection Cameras*

Video detection systems use digital image processing to detect a change in the image at a location. These systems can be calibrated to detect bicycles. Video camera system costs range from $20,000 to $25,000 per intersection.

*Remote Traffic Microwave Sensor Detection (RTMS)*

RTMS is a system which uses frequency modulated continuous wave radio signals to detect objects in the roadway. This method marks the detected object with a time code to determine its distance from the sensor. The RTMS system is unaffected by temperature and lighting, which can affect standard video detection.

**Discussion**

Proper bicycle detection should meet two primary criteria: 1) accurately detects bicyclists and 2) provides clear guidance to bicyclists on how to actuate detection (e.g., what button to push, where to stand).

Bicycle loops and other detection mechanisms can also provide bicyclists with an extended green time before the light turns yellow so that bicyclists of all abilities can reach the far side of the intersection.

**Additional References and Guidelines**


**Materials and Maintenance**

Signal detection and actuation for bicyclists should be maintained with other traffic signal detection and roadway pavement markings.
BICYCLE SIGNAL HEADS

Description
A bicycle signal is an electrically powered traffic control device that should only be used in combination with an existing traffic signal. Bicycle signals are typically used to improve identified safety or operational problems involving bicycle facilities. Bicycle signal heads may be installed at signalized intersections to indicate bicycle signal phases and other bicycle-specific timing strategies. Bicycle signals can be actuated with bicycle sensitive loop detectors, video detection, or push buttons.

Bicycle signals are typically used to provide guidance for bicyclists at intersections where they may have different needs from other road users (e.g., bicycle-only movements).

FHWA currently limits the use of bicycle signal faces to where bicyclists would not be in conflict with any other vehicle movements, however many cities have successfully experimented with bicycle signals in other ways including the use of leading bicycle intervals.

Guidance
Specific locations where bicycle signals have had a demonstrated positive effect include:

- Those with high volume of bicyclists at peak hours
- Those with high numbers of bicycle/motor vehicle crashes, especially those caused by turning vehicle movements
- At T-intersections with major bicycle movement along the top of the “T”
- At the confluence of an off-street bike trail and a roadway intersection
- Where separated bike paths run parallel to arterial streets

Discussion
Local municipal code should be checked or modified to clarify that at intersections with bicycle signals, bicyclists should only obey the bicycle signal heads. For improved visibility, smaller (4 inch lens) near-sided bicycle signals should be considered to supplement far-side signals.

Additional References and Guidelines
FHWA. MUTCD - Interim Approval for Optional Use of a Bicycle Signal Face (IA-16). 2013.

Materials and Maintenance
Bicycle signal heads require the same maintenance as standard traffic signal heads, such as replacing bulbs and responding to power outages.
The ability to navigate through a city is informed by landmarks, natural features and other visual cues. Signs throughout the City should indicate to bicyclists:

- Direction of travel
- Location of destinations
- Travel time/distance to those destinations

These signs will increase users’ comfort and accessibility to the bicycle systems.

Signage can serve both wayfinding and safety purposes including:

- Helping to familiarize users with the bicycle network
- Helping users identify the best routes to destinations
- Helping to address misperceptions about time and distance
- Helping overcome a “barrier to entry” for people who are not frequent bicyclists (e.g., “interested but concerned” bicyclists)

A community-wide bicycle wayfinding signage plan would identify:

- Sign locations
- Sign type – what information should be included and design features
- Destinations to be highlighted on each sign – key destinations for bicyclists
- Approximate distance and travel time to each destination

Bicycle wayfinding signs also visually cue motorists that they are driving along a bicycle route and should use caution. Signs are typically placed at key locations leading to and along bicycle routes, including the intersection of multiple routes. Too many road signs tend to clutter the right-of-way, and it is recommended that these signs be posted at a level most visible to bicyclists rather than per vehicle signage standards.
WAYFINDING SIGN TYPES

Description
A bicycle wayfinding system consists of comprehensive signing and/or pavement markings to guide bicyclists to their destinations along preferred bicycle routes. There are three general types of wayfinding signs:

Confirmation Signs
Indicate to bicyclists that they are on a designated bikeway. Make motorists aware of the bicycle route.
Can include destinations and distance/time. Do not include arrows.

Turn Signs
Indicate where a bikeway turns from one street onto another street. Can be used with pavement markings.
Include destinations and arrows.

Decisions Signs
Mark the junction of two or more bikeways.
Inform bicyclists of the designated bike route to access key destinations.
Destinations and arrows, distances and travel times are optional but recommended.

Discussion
There is no standard color for bicycle wayfinding signage. Section 1A.12 of the MUTCD establishes the general meaning for signage colors. Green is the color used for directional guidance and is the most common color of bicycle wayfinding signage in the US, including those in the MUTCD.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Maintenance needs for bicycle wayfinding signs are similar to other signs and will need periodic replacement due to wear.
WAYFINDING SIGN PLACEMENT

Guidance
Signs are typically placed at decision points along bicycle routes – typically at the intersection of two or more bikeways and at other key locations leading to and along bicycle routes.

Decisions Signs
Near-side of intersections in advance of a junction with another bicycle route.

Along a route to indicate a nearby destination.

Confirmation Signs
Every ¼ to ½ mile on off-street facilities and every 2 to 3 blocks along on-street bicycle facilities, unless another type of sign is used (e.g., within 150 ft of a turn or decision sign). Should be placed soon after turns to confirm destination(s). Pavement markings can also act as confirmation that a bicyclist is on a preferred route.

Turn Signs
Near-side of intersections where bike routes turn (e.g., where the street ceases to be a bicycle route or does not go through). Pavement markings can also indicate the need to turn to the bicyclist.

Discussion
It can be useful to classify a list of destinations for inclusion on the signs based on their relative importance to users throughout the area. A particular destination’s ranking in the hierarchy can be used to determine the physical distance from which the locations are signed. For example, primary destinations (such as the downtown area) may be included on signage up to 5 miles away. Secondary destinations (such as a transit station) may be included on signage up to two miles away. Tertiary destinations (such as a park) may be included on signage up to one mile away.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Maintenance needs for bicycle wayfinding signs are similar to other signs and will need periodic replacement due to wear.
**BICYCLE SUPPORT FACILITIES**

**Bicycle Parking**

Bicyclists expect a safe, convenient place to secure their bicycle when they reach their destination. This may be short-term parking of 2 hours or less, or long-term parking for employees, students, residents, and commuters.

**Access to Transit**

Safe and easy access to bicycle parking facilities is necessary to encourage commuters to access transit via bicycle. Providing bicycle access to transit and space for bicycles on buses and rail vehicles can increase the feasibility of transit in lower-density areas, where transit stops are beyond walking distance of many residences. People are often willing to walk only a quarter- to half-mile to a bus stop, while they might bike as much as two or more miles to reach a transit station.

**Roadway Construction and Repair**

Safety of all roadway users should be considered during road construction and repair. Wherever bicycles are allowed, measures should be taken to provide for the continuity of a bicyclist’s trip through a work zone area.

Only in rare cases should pedestrians and bicyclists be detoured to another street when travel vehicle lanes remain open. Contractors performing work should be made aware of the needs of bicyclists and be properly trained in how to safely route bicyclists through or around work zones.
BICYCLE RACKS

Description
Short-term bicycle parking is meant to accommodate visitors, customers, and others expected to depart within two hours. It should have an approved standard rack, appropriate location and placement, and weather protection. The Association for Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals (APBP) recommends selecting a bicycle rack that:

- Supports the bicycle in at least two places, preventing it from falling over.
- Allows locking of the frame and one or both wheels with a U-lock.
- Is securely anchored to ground.
- Resists cutting, rusting and bending or deformation.

Guidance
- 2’ minimum from the curb face to avoid “dooring.”
- Close to destinations; 50’ maximum distance from main building entrance.
- Minimum clear distance of 6’ should be provided between the bicycle rack and the property line.
- Should be highly visible from adjacent bicycle routes and pedestrian traffic.
- Locate racks in areas that cyclists are most likely to travel.

Discussion
Where the placement of racks on sidewalks is not possible (due to narrow sidewalk width, sidewalk obstructions, street trees, etc.), bicycle parking can be provided in the street where on-street vehicle parking is allowed in the form of on-street bicycle corrals.

Some types of bicycle racks may meet design criteria, but are discouraged except in limited situations. This includes undulating “wave” racks, schoolyard “wheel bender” racks, and spiral racks.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Use of proper anchors will prevent vandalism and theft. Racks and anchors should be regularly inspected for damage. Educate snow removal crews to avoid burying racks during winter months.
ON-STREET BICYCLE CORRAL

Description

Bicycle corrals (also known as on-street bicycle parking) consist of bicycle racks grouped together in a common area within the street traditionally used for automobile parking. Bicycle corrals are reserved exclusively for bicycle parking and provide a relatively inexpensive solution to providing high-volume bicycle parking. Bicycle corrals can be implemented by converting one or two on-street motor vehicle parking spaces into on-street bicycle parking. Each motor vehicle parking space can be replaced with approximately 6-10 bicycle parking spaces.

Bicycle corrals move bicycles off the sidewalks, leaving more space for pedestrians, sidewalk café tables, etc. Because bicycle parking does not block sightlines (as large motor vehicles would do), it may be possible to locate bicycle parking in ‘no-parking’ zones near intersections and crosswalks.

Guidance

See guidelines for sidewalk bicycle rack placement and clear zones.

- Bicyclists should have an entrance width from the roadway of 5’ – 6’.
- Can be used with parallel or angled parking.
- Parking stalls adjacent to curb extensions are good candidates for bicycle corrals since the concrete extension serves as delimitation on one side.

Discussion

In many communities, the installation of bicycle corrals is driven by requests from adjacent businesses, and is not a City-driven initiative. In such cases, the City does not remove motor vehicle parking unless it is explicitly requested. In other areas, the City provides the facility and business associations take responsibility for the maintenance of the facility. Communities can establish maintenance agreements with the requesting business. Bicycle corrals can be especially effective in areas with high bicycle parking demand or along street frontages with narrow sidewalks where parked bicycles would be detrimental to the pedestrian environment.

Additional References and Guidelines


Materials and Maintenance

Physical barriers may obstruct drainage and collect debris. Establish a maintenance agreement with neighboring businesses. In snowy climates the bicycle corral may need to be removed during the winter months.
BICYCLE LOCKERS

Description
Bicycle lockers are intended to provide long-term bicycle storage for employees, students, residents, commuters, and others expected to park more than two hours. Long-term facilities protect the entire bicycle, its components and accessories against theft and against inclement weather, including snow and wind-driven rain.

Bicycle lockers provide space to store a few accessories or rain gear in addition to containing the bicycle. Some lockers allow access to two users - a partition separating the two bicycles can help users feel their bike is secure. Lockers can also be stacked, reducing the footprint of the area, although that makes them more difficult to use.

Guidance
■ Minimum dimensions: width (opening) 2.5'; height 4'; depth 6'.
■ 4 foot side clearance and 6 foot end clearance.
■ 7 foot minimum distance between facing lockers.
■ Locker designs that allow visibility and inspection of contents are recommended for increased security.
■ Access is controlled by a key or access code.

Discussion
Long-term parking facilities are more expensive to provide than short-term facilities, but are also significantly more secure. Although many bicycle commuters would be willing to pay a nominal fee to guarantee the safety of their bicycle, long-term bicycle parking should be free wherever automobile parking is free. Potential locations for long-term bicycle parking include transit stations, large employers, and institutions where people use their bikes for commuting and not consistently throughout the day.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Regularly inspect the functioning of moving parts and enclosures. Change keys and access codes periodically to prevent access to unapproved users.
SECURE PARKING AREAS (SPA)

Description
A Secure Parking Area for bicycles, also known as a BikeSPA or Bike & Ride (when located at transit stations), is a semi-enclosed space that offers a higher level of security than ordinary bike racks. Accessible via key-card, combination locks, or keys, BikeSPAs provide high-capacity parking for 10 to 100 or more bicycles. Increased security measures create an additional transportation option for those whose biggest concern is theft and vulnerability.

Guidance
Key features may include:
■ Closed-circuit television monitoring.
■ Double high racks & cargo bike spaces.
■ Bike repair station with bench.
■ Bike tube and maintenance item vending machine.
■ Bike lock “hitching post” – allows people to leave bike locks.
■ Secure access for users.

Discussion
Long-term parking facilities are more expensive to provide than short-term facilities, but are also significantly more secure. Although many bicycle commuters would be willing to pay a nominal fee to guarantee the safety of their bicycle, long-term bicycle parking should be free wherever automobile parking is free. BikeSPAs are ideal for transit centers, airports, train stations, or wherever large numbers of people might arrive by bicycle and need a secure place to park while away.

Additional References and Guidelines

Materials and Maintenance
Regularly inspect the functioning of moving parts and enclosures. Change keys and access codes periodically to prevent access to unapproved users.
Bioswales have been shown to remove 70% of total suspended solids, 30% of total phosphorus, 25% of total nitrogen, 50-90% of certain metals, and 67-93% of oil and grease pollutants in stormwater (Davis & McCuen 2005, p. 236). Bioswales are recommended for use adjacent to drive lanes, in place of conventional in-road features (such as curbs and gutters) and as vegetated buffers vehicular and pedestrian areas.

Rain gardens are typically designed with a ponding depth of less than 18” in order to meet small scale flow control and water quality requirements and may be formed in any shape. An overflow, either piped or natural, is typically included to manage higher flows and convey runoff to a public storm drain, channel or natural outlet. The area of a rain garden is generally sized to equal 5% of the area being treated. They can be particularly effective at heavy metal removal; reductions of up to 95% of lead, copper and zinc, and 70-85% of total phosphorus and nitrogen have been noted (Davis & McCuen 2005, p. 241). Rain gardens are useful strategies for managing stormwater in areas adjacent to parking, such as within tree islands, along pedestrian zones, in center roadway medians, and in unused open space, including front yards.

Bioretention Cells: Bioretention cells are used to treat stormwater and serve as a traffic-calming device, by narrowing travel lanes and reducing pedestrian crossing distances.

Bioretention Cells/Bioretention Swales

Bioretention cells are shallow planted depressions that utilize climate-appropriate plants and soils to retain and treat stormwater. Bioretention cells promote transpiration of stormwater through the vegetation; detention of stormwater in the pores of amended and native soils; cleansing of stormwater through various mechanisms that include sedimentation, filtration, adsorption, and phytoremediation; and retention of stormwater via infiltration into native soils.

Bioretention cells may have underdrains to help convey excess water below the soil surface. Conveyance may be a secondary, but not the primary purpose for bioretention cells. All bioswales perform some amount of conveyance, but those considered to be bioretention systems also allow infiltration of stormwater into surrounding soils.
BIORETENTION PLANTERS

Bioretention planters are similar in design and function to rain gardens, but have a more defined shape and vertical sides, and may employ an impermeable bottom layer or enclosure. The planters are often constructed of concrete, making them well-suited for urban applications where water needs to be directed away from building foundations. Stormwater planters consist of a planter box made of sturdy material, amended soils, a gravel drainage layer, and plants. An overflow is incorporated to manage higher flows and convey runoff to the public storm drain system, either via a perforated pipe or via surface flow. Although stormwater planters can be designed without a bottom to allow infiltration, they are typically designed to focus on flow control and attenuation to the public storm drain system. They are particularly effective at handling low intensity storms.

In the right-of-way, stormwater planters are recommended adjacent to buildings, sidewalks and pedestrian plazas where flow control is a significant concern and space is at a premium. Planters can also be designed to serve a conveyance function in the right of way where there is insufficient width to provide sloped sides (i.e., a swale) or the grade would be too steep. Stormwater planters provide aesthetic benefits and, depending on plant selection and design, can provide water, food and nesting materials for birds.

Bioretention Planter: Polluted runoff from the street runs into these stormwater planters. If there is too much water, it overflows back onto the curb and continues down the gutter line.